File No.

## SEMINOLE COUNTY GOVERNMENT AGENDA MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Compensation and Benefits Briefing	
DEPARTMENT: Human Resources DIVISION:	
AUTHORIZED BY: Kevin Grace CONTACT: Janet Davis EX	T. 7940
Agenda Date 7/27/04_ Regular ⊠ Consent ☐ Work Session ☐ Public Hearing – 1:30 ☐ Public Hearing	
MOTION/RECOMMENDATION: Present the Compensation and Benefits analysis and request Board applethe 4% salary adjustment.	roval to maintain
BACKGROUND: This fiscal year the County hired Palmer & Cay to conduct a review of the compensation system. The attached review focused on identifying streng weaknesses and provided recommendations on the foundation for the coprogram. This was accomplished by evaluating the 1997 findings of the Lybrand recommendations that were implemented by the organization.  The Coopers & Lybrand study centered on the County changing the foundations.	gths and Impensation Coopers &
compensation system to broadbanding. The broadband system reduced job classifications while focusing on jobs with varying required knowledge paid at the same rate.	the number of
The findings from the Palmer & Cay review indicated that overall, Seminor made progress toward maintaining competitive wages, but still falls slight market. The study also found that the County's benefit package is competarea employers. The review further identified the need to readdress the system. While broadbanding is an appropriate system that has improved compensation is administered, it was determined that the system as	ly behind the etitive with other broadband I the way
implemented, was too broad and required adjustments on the bands.  A review was also conducted on the County's annual merit increase practices. It was concluded that a 4% adjustment is consistent with standard compensation practices.	Reviewed by: Co Atty: DFS: Other: DCM:

- 2.5% Annual Adjustment Calculated on the Total Salary
- 1.0% Merit Calculated on the Mid-Point of Salary Range
- .5% One Time Adjustment To be used to bring staff to market (Mid-Point)

It is requested that in order to remain competitive, the Board maintain

the 4% overall salary adjustment based on the following allocation:



## **Executive Summary**

Recommendations

Compensation and Benefits Analysis

Seminole County/BCC

Human Resources Department

December 2003

#### Executive Summary

In 2002 Seminole County developed strategic planning goals. The findings of this report fulfill one of the Workforce Development goals: "We will undertake a comprehensive review of compensation and benefits by February 2004." Initiation of this goal began in July 2003 when a Request for Quote (RFQ) was prepared to solicit consultant services to conduct this review.

## Scope Company Company

The scope of the project included:

- I. Validity assessment of current base pay systems to include:
  - Job analysis process (Position Information Questionnaire)
  - Job descriptions format and general content
  - Job evaluation system
  - · Band classification system
  - Methodology for pay range development
  - Methodology for base pay adjustments
- II. Assessment of *market competitiveness* of benefit programs and major practices to include:
  - Salary
  - Paid Time Off
  - Health Insurance Coverage (including medical and dental plans)
  - Long-term Disability Insurance
  - Optional Benefits
  - Holidays
  - Deferred Compensation
  - Florida Retirement System
  - Flexible Work Hours
  - Service Awards

A Seminole County Task Force was developed with all departments represented. On September 9<sup>th</sup> this task force selected Palmer and Cay Consulting Group. Palmer and Cay's first Task Force meeting was held on October 7, 2003. Task force representatives worked directly

with their departments collecting data as requested by Palmer and Cay. On December 3<sup>rd</sup>, Palmer and Cay presented their recommendations. Their recommendations are summarized in this report.

#### Overall Recommendations

#### Strengths The Control of the Control

- Position Information Questionnaire is comprehensive with some minor changes.
- Flexibility in hire in starting salaries.
- Overall benefits package.

### Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weaknesses : Weaknesse : Weakness

- Job descriptions in multiple formats.
- Internal equity.
- Pay compression.

#### 

- Update all job descriptions to one format and delineate what is needed for the next level.
- Move up to the next level within the band if requirements are met/skill set acquired/value added.
- Develop one memo form for all job reclassifications.
- Maintain current organization charts for validity.
- List working titles under broad band tile.
- Make more bands or pay zones within bands rename.
- Contribute toward part-time (not seasonal) health insurance coverage.
- Redo band classification.

#### Appendixes

Palmer and Cay Consulting Group's Report

# **Seminole County Board of County Commissioners**

## Compensation and Benefits Analysis Services

December 2003



76 S. Laura St., Ste. 1400 Jacksonville, FL 32202 (800) 755-8927

## **Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Base Pay Systems Review
- 3. Market Competitiveness Review
- 4. Overall Recommendations

### Introduction

The Seminole County Board of County Commissioner's (the County) retained Palmer & Cay Consulting Group (PCCG) to provide compensation and benefits consulting services. Project objectives included:

- I. Validity assessment of current base pay systems to include:
  - Job analysis process (Position Information Questionnaire)
  - Job descriptions format and general content
  - Job evaluation system
  - Band classification system
  - Methodology for pay range development
  - Methodology for base pay adjustments
- II. Assessment of *market competitiveness* of benefit programs and major practices to include:
  - Salary
  - Paid Time Off
  - Health Insurance Coverage (including medical and dental plans)
  - · Long-term Disability
  - Optional Benefits
  - Holidays
  - Deferred Compensation
  - Florida Retirement System
  - Flexible Work Hours
  - Service Awards

## **Job Analysis Process**

PCCG conducted a review of the Position Information Questionnaire (PIQ) currently utilized by the County, and we have provided the following comments by section.

#### General Information:

This section contains the standard job information required for the job evaluation process. The County should consider adding a space for the supervisor's phone number, in case the evaluator has any questions or needs to schedule a job audit.

#### General Instructions:

This section is very useful by providing answers to questions employees often have when completing the form.

#### I. Position Summary:

This section solicits information on the primary purpose of the position and tools and equipment used by the position. These are both important pieces of information to collect in a PIQ. Particularly helpful is the example provided for a Position Summary, as this is something that many employees have difficulty articulating in writing. In addition, the necessary tools and equipment required by the position is important to consider both for complexity of the job and for physical requirements.

#### II. Principal Position Duties:

Again, the instructions provided, especially the chart indicating the estimated percentage of time, should be helpful to employees completing the PIQ. For jobs being reviewed for possible reclassification, having the employee list the tasks that have been added in the last three years should assist the evaluator in determining how the job has changed.

#### III. Job Evaluation Factors:

Most job factors have seven (7) levels listed under each factor, resulting in an equally weighted point-factor system, and overall, there are clear distinctions between each level. All job factors appear to be relevant to jobs within the County. Additional comments on particular job factors are provided below:

#### 1. Formal Education/License, Certifications or Registrations

Appropriate emphasis is placed on the minimum educational requirements of the job, rather than on the employee's own level of education. The County should consider formal licensing, certification, etc., in addition to college education, when assigning points for this factor.

#### 2. Work Experience

The number of levels is appropriate, but the description of each level can be confusing due to overlap. The County should consider clarifying this, such as shown below:

Current	Recommended :
0 to 1 year	Up to 1 year
1 year to 2 years	At least 1 year
2 years to 3 years	At least 2 years
3 years to 5 years	At least 3 years
5 years to 7 years	At least 5 years
7 years to 10 years	At least 7 years
Over 10 years	Over 10 years

## Job Analysis Process (continued)

#### 3. Impact of Actions/Impact on Budgets, Revenues and Grants/Dollar Magnitude

The County should consider <u>deleting Section 3b</u> - Impact on Budgets, Revenues and Grants, or clarifying the terminology used in and providing specific examples of what is meant by the following terms:

- Incidental
- Supportive
- Recommending
- Controlling
- Delegating

#### 4. Complexity

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 5. Decision Making

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 6. Communication

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 7. Managerial Skills/Supervisory Knowledge of Staff's Occupations

The County should consider asking for the number *and titles* of direct and indirect reports. It is not clear how the <u>Supervisory Knowledge of Staff's Operations</u> is used to evaluate jobs, and if it is not considered, it should be removed to simplify the form.

#### 8. Responsibility for the Welfare of Others

Unlike the others, this job factor has only three (3) levels and degrees within each level. The example provided shows the selection of one level of responsibility and one level of frequency; however, it appears that multiple levels with different levels of frequency could apply to some jobs. In addition, this is a job factor that is typically evaluated in a more active form, i.e., a risk/safety factor as it relates to the incumbent in the job being evaluated rather than the incumbent's responsibility for the welfare of others. In its current form, this job factor gives more weight to jobs with lead worker or supervisory responsibilities – jobs that already have many other directly related job factors. (Delete this factor.)

#### 9. Innovation/Creativity

This factor provides a good explanation, good distinction between levels, and asks for specific examples, which is helpful to the evaluator.

## Job Analysis Process (continued)

#### IV. Working Conditions/Physical Efforts

This section appears to be a comprehensive list of the working conditions and physical efforts required of County jobs; however, due to the great diversity of County services and jobs, the County should consider adding space so that jobs with working conditions and physical efforts that do not fit into the categories provided can be described. Many organizations also wish to address the *frequency* of exposure when evaluating the job as well. An example is provided below.

		(Check on	n selected)		
Check All That Apply	Working Conditions	<u>Rare</u> 0-25%	Occasional 25-10%	Frequent	<u>Constant</u> 75-100%
	Normal office situation				
	Stockroom or warehouse				
	Typically works outside				
	High noise environment				
	High dust, dirt, grease environment				
	Works alone or closely with others				

		% of Time?  (Check one for each Physical Effort selected)					
That	Physical Efforts	<u>Rare</u> . 0-25%	<u>Occasional</u> 25-50%	Rrequent 50-75%	<u>Constant</u> 75-100%		
	Typically sitting at a desk or table						
	Intermittently sitting, standing, stooping						
	Typically standing and/or walking						
	Typically bending, crouching, stooping						
	Typically crawling and/or kneeling						
	Typically jumping and/or throwing						

As it is currently written, this information does not appear to be considered in the job evaluation process. It is common for governmental-job evaluation systems to incorporate this information into a compensable job factor, so that jobs with the most strenuous physical efforts and adverse working conditions are given credit accordingly. It is important to consider the physical efforts and working conditions because many of the jobs with these characteristics would not otherwise rank very high on any other job factor, and it is these characteristics that add value to the job. (Collection of data for this factor provides the necessary information to remain compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act.)

#### V. Comments

This section provides an opportunity for employees and supervisors to provide any final comments. For the Supervisor's comments section, the County should consider adding a statement that instructs them not to describe the incumbent employee's qualifications, skills or work performance, as the PIQ is not the appropriate place for such comments. Instead the Supervisor's comments should focus on how their views of the position's job, tasks, and requirements may differ from that which the employee described.

## **Job Descriptions**

PCCG has provided the following recommendations based on our knowledge of best practices, experience with other clients, and taking into consideration feedback from the Task Force.

Although similar in format, some County jobs have job descriptions and some have position descriptions. The table below shows the sections of information contained in each format, listed in the order they appear on the documents:

Job Description	Position Description
Position Title	Classification Title
Working Title*	Work Area*
Class Code	Class Code
FLSA	FLSA
EEO Code	Effective Date
Effective Date	Function
Major Function	Education and Experience
Essential Functions	Special Requirements
Minimum Qualifications	Essential Functions
Working Conditions	Working Conditions

<sup>\*</sup>For both, <u>having a second, more descriptive title</u> (working title, work area) <u>is essential</u> when using a band classification system, so that the specific job can be identified within the broad band of job titles.

#### Recommendations - Job Description Format

- Of the two formats currently being used, PCCG recommends using the job description format for all jobs.
  - In this format, the minimum qualifications section includes knowledge, skills and abilities required, and education, experience, special licenses/training; therefore it does not require a "Special Requirements" such as in the position description.
  - This format lists the requirements of the job section in an order that is more consistent with standard compensation practices.
- A "revision date" should be added to the job description format, which will show the history of changes to the job description (this would be in addition to the effective date).

## Job Descriptions (continued)

#### Recommendations - Job Description Content

- Based on the desired edits to the job description format we received as part of the internal review process for this project, it is clear that most job descriptions require some update. The County should have a policy that outlines the process for annually reviewing all job descriptions.
  - The annual job description review process is usually initiated by Human Resources.
  - Each Director is responsible for reviewing the job descriptions and recommending updates.
  - Human Resources is responsible for reviewing the proposed updates and revising the job descriptions as appropriate.
  - The revision date information will assist in identifying departments where job description updates are not being done consistently.
- To facilitate career pathing/succession planning, the minimum qualifications section should be modified to show what qualifications are required to reach the next level in a job series. For example, what specific knowledge, skills, abilities, formal education and/or experience does an "Analyst" need to become a "Senior Analyst" and then a "Principal Analyst"?

## **Job Evaluation System**

PCCG reviewed the County's current process for classifying jobs, and found that the process incorporates several strengths, or best practices:

#### Strengths

- Requests from Departments are considered each fiscal year during the budget process. This is a trend we are seeing in many organizations as they try to simplify their processes and operate more effectively and efficiently.
- These changes are effective the first day of the new fiscal year.
- There are clear guidelines as to what documentation is needed to initiate the process.
- The process is sound, and both *internal equity* and *external competitiveness* are being considered.

#### Recommendations

- The <u>sample memorandums from Departments requesting an evaluation of a job should be combined</u> into one memorandum with a check-off box indicating the type of request.
- In most organizations where HR Generalists serve as "consultants" assigned to specific departments, the individual Generalists will meet as a team first to discuss potential classifications/reclassifications prior to them being put in writing. This helps to maintain internal equity by being aware of the impact a change may have across departments, and helps to anticipate other problems that may be encountered.

## **Band Classification System**

Banded classification systems, such as that used by the County, consist of broad classifications encompassing a variety of specific job titles that have similar qualifications, responsibilities and skill levels. It is a current trend in the field of compensation, and some of the desired outcomes of banded classification systems include:

- Easier administration due to fewer classifications;
- Fewer reclassification requests, since there should be a clear distinction between the band a classification is in and those above and below it; and
- Fosters a team-oriented environment by recognizing characteristics between wide varieties of job that are similarly valued.

#### Some of the <u>difficulties</u> associated <u>with a banded classification system</u> include:

- Market pricing. Reviewing external competitiveness by means of market pricing is done through salary surveys. An organization needs to be able to match the banded job with a more detailed description to facilitate appropriate survey matches. As an example, a salary survey will provide separate market data for a Budget Analyst, Human Resources Analyst, Network Analyst, etc. An administrator of a banded classification system has to be familiar with what specific duties fall into the "Analyst" classification in order to facilitate market pricing.
- Maintaining Internal Equity. If not carefully administered, it sometimes becomes the goal of trying to get a job into the desired pay grade by calling it an "Analyst" even though it does not have the same traits and requirements of other jobs in the category. This disrupts the balance of internal equity and can defeat the purpose of having a banded classification system.
- FLSA. The exempt/non-exempt status of jobs needs to be carefully monitored in a banded classification system, as this will be more <u>driven by individual position</u> than by overall classification (i.e., not all "Analysts" or "Coordinators" are necessarily the same exemption status).

#### **Observations**

The County is currently experiencing several of these difficulties associated with its band classification system. In addition, PCCG found that the County's current system appears to have jobs assigned to band classifications without any clear relationship from one level to the next. Typically, job title series in band classification systems (e.g., Analyst, Senior Analyst, Principal Analyst) identify career pathing opportunities within and across job families.

#### PCCG recommends that the County implement the following steps:

- Update the current job descriptions associated with the individual positions within the County.
- Review the banded classification assignment associated with each job description to ensure
  that the position is appropriately classified based on qualifications, responsibilities and skill
  levels, according to the County's current job evaluation system.
- Implement any necessary changes to assigned classifications.
- Designate benchmark jobs associated with each classification title for the purposes of market pricing.
- Maintain an annual review policy (i.e., job descriptions and reclassification requests)

## Methodology for Pay Range Development

Seminole County participates in compensation surveys covering other employers with similar jobs. This information is used to determine the relative competitive position of the County's pay structure. The Pay Plan contains salary ranges with a minimum and maximum rate for each title.

PCCG's recommended methodology for pay range development and update is also based on compensation surveys. To develop pay ranges, we recommend the County use, at a minimum, the following published survey sources:

- Economic Research Institute, Salary Assessor Database
- Florida Public Personnel Association, Annual Market Survey
- Watson Wyatt:
  - ECS Geographic Report on Office Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Professional & Scientific Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Supervisory Management Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Technician and Skilled Trades Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Top Management Compensation
  - ECS Industry Report on Middle Management Compensation
- William M. Mercer, Benchmark Compensation Survey

In addition to the published survey sources, PCCG recommends the County <u>implement a customized private survey</u>. A customized survey will help ensure that (1) the appropriate organizations are surveyed, (2) the appropriate jobs are surveyed, and (3) the matches of external organization jobs with County jobs are equivalent.

To maintain pay ranges, PCCG recommends the County use data from the WorldatWork's Annual Salary Budget Increase Survey (Seminole County currently does this) and/or comparable survey data. For example, if the WorldatWork data indicates an average two percent salary structure adjustment, all salary ranges (minimums, midpoints and maximums) should be adjusted by approximately two percent, if economically feasible, and the range spreads should remain consistent.

While it is important to review pay ranges annually, PCCG recommends that organizations conduct a detailed market analysis every three years to assess the integrity of its pay ranges with actual market conditions (i.e., midpoints should be closely aligned with the desired market position outlined in the organization's compensation strategy, or pay philosophy).

## Methodology for Pay Range Development (continued)

PCCG reviewed the County's current ranges for pay bands two through ten, and our observations are noted below:

		Hourly			Annual			
Pay Band	Band Minimum	Band Midpoint	Band Maximum	Band Minimum	Band Midpoint	Band Maximum	Range Spread (Width)	MP Differential
010	\$35.94	\$51.21	\$66.48	\$ 74,755	\$106,517	\$138,278	85%	30%
009	\$27.69	\$39.46	\$51.23	\$ 57,595	\$ 82,077	\$106,558	85%	24%
008	\$22.36	\$31.87	\$41.38	\$ 46,509	\$ 66,290	\$ 86,070	85%	22%
007	\$18.33	\$26.13	\$33.92	\$ 38,126	\$ 54,340	\$ 70,554	85%	22%
006	\$15.02	\$21.41	\$27.80	\$ 31,242	\$ 44,533	\$ 57,824	85%	22%
005	\$12.32	\$17.55	\$22.78	\$ 25,626	\$ 36,504	\$ 47,382	85%	22%
004	\$10.10	\$14.39	\$18.68	\$ 21,008	\$ 29,931	\$ 38,854	85%	15%
003	\$8.78	\$12.51	\$16.23	\$ 18,262	\$ 26,010	\$ 33,758	85%	15%
002	\$7.63	\$10.87	\$14.11	\$ 15,870	\$ 22,610	\$ 29,349	85%	

#### **Overall Observations**



- The widths of the salary ranges are broader than those typically found for similar jobs. This results in the minimums being too low, which may lead to recruiting problems, and the maximums to be too high, which leads to potentially paying salaries that are higher than market norms, and is not an effective use of salary budget dollars.
- The midpoint differentials should reflect the differences in market rates for benchmark jobs within each pay band. Based on the market competitiveness review, overall the County's midpoints are slightly below the market median.
- Based on the market review, adjustments to the current pay bands will be required to
  facilitate career pathing within and across job families. Some options to accomplish this
  include adding additional pay grades with a common midpoint differential or widening the
  ranges to true "broad bands" and creating "pay zones" within the broad bands.

## Methodology for Base Pay Adjustments

PCCG reviewed the County's current base pay adjustment policies, and found that they incorporate sound compensation practices, provided they are administered consistently. We have provided comments below for each method of base pay adjustment:

#### New hire pay

This policy is conservative yet provides some flexibility for hiring rates depending on an applicant's experience or skill level.

#### Promotional increases

This policy also provides flexibility to managers, but it is clear that a salary must stay within the salary range. If the County is experiencing inequities in promotional increases, or the perception of such, then it should consider adopting a policy with a more definite promotional amount.

#### Lateral transfers

The County's later transfer policy and procedures are written according to standard compensation practice.

#### Reclassifications/transfers to lower level positions

This policy incorporates a standard compensation practice by allowing <u>some flexibility for a salary adjustment</u>, under certain circumstances, providing it does not exceed the salary range.

#### Reclassifications to higher-level position

This policy incorporates a standard compensation practice by <u>allowing some flexibility for a salary adjustment</u>, and outlines that the salary should be at least equivalent to the new minimum.

#### Internal/external equity adjustments

This practice is growing in popularity, and is desirable because it helps to maintain morale so that new employees aren't seen as more valuable than tenured employees.

#### Temporary assignments

The amount of the temporary increase of 5% or to minimum of higher pay grade is a standard practices, but the County should consider reducing the number of days, which is currently 30, before awarding temporary assignment pay.

#### Annual merit increases

The County's policy is consistent with standard compensation practices and a leader in the public sector by basing salary adjustments on performance.

#### Trainee positions

PCCG considers this to be <u>a best practice</u>, one which is particularly helpful for hard to fill positions or for positions with specific minimum qualifications which are usually obtained through on the job training.

#### Completion of career service probation

This is a standard compensation practice, and one that is commonly used to support a base pay adjustment because it is based on demonstrated ability to perform the work.

#### **Benefits**

#### Paid Time Off

Paid time off leave plans are a <u>current trend</u>. For the County, new full-time employees accrue 20 days per year of Paid Time Off (16 days for 24-hour shift personnel), and accrual rates increase with service time. This is <u>competitive</u> with the <u>market</u>.

#### Health Insurance Coverage

Employees may choose a Managed Health Care (HMO) or Designated Provider Program (POSHMO). Dependent coverage is also available (the County pays 50% of dependent coverage). Most organizations comparable in employee size to Seminole County offer a variety of medical plans from which to choose.

#### **Optional Benefits**

Seminole County offers the following additional optional benefits to employees:

- Dental Insurance: A choice of prepaid or indemnity plan available for employees and dependents at employee expense. It is a common practice cross-industry to offer dental insurance at employee expenses; however, it is more common for public sector employers to contribute towards the cost.
- Additional Life Insurance: At employee expense, additional life insurance up to four times annual salary; dependent coverage also available. This is a <u>standard practice</u> and competitive with the market.
- Short-Term Disability Insurance: At employee expense. The availability of STD insurance is a standard practice for organizations utilizing PTO.
- Long-Term Disability Insurance: Provides 50% of your salary if you become disabled. This benefit is paid by the employer. Employees have the option to increase the benefit to 60% and the employee pays for the additional coverage. Benefits are payable after 6 months of disability.
- Flexible Spending Account: A pre-tax account for childcare and un-reimbursed medical expenses. This is a <u>standard practice</u> and competitive with the market.
- Pre-Tax Payroll Deductions: Under Section 125 of the IRS Code, certain payroll deductions for insurance coverage may be taken out prior to income being taxed. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.

#### **Holidays**

Seminole County currently offers 11 paid holidays, which include an employee birthday holiday and a floating Work/Life Day. This number of paid holidays, as well as the policies and procedures associated with holiday pay is comparable with other public sector organizations. Eleven paid holidays is slightly more competitive than what is normally offered by private sector organizations.

#### **Deferred Compensation**

Seminole County employees may choose to have pre-tax payroll deductions made for deposit into a 457 savings plan up to the IRS-established maximum of \$11,000 per year. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.

## Benefits (continued)

#### Florida Retirement System

All employees in regularly established positions are automatically covered from the first day of employment. Seminole County pays all contributions into the system in the employee's behalf. This is a <u>standard practice</u> and competitive with the market.

#### Service Awards

The County offers a comprehensive program of both formal and informal recognition and incentives to reward outstanding performance and enhance service to the citizens of Seminole County. We asked the Task Force to tell us what three Service Awards the employees in their Department appreciated the most, and the following received the most responses:

- Pat on the Back Award
- Years of Service Recognition Award
- Employee of the Month/Year
- Teamwork
- Gift Cards

Rewards and recognition programs are a <u>current trend</u> in compensation programs, and they should be monitored regularly for effectiveness and modified as needed.

#### **Overall Recommendations**

Our findings, based on this initial assessment of the County's Base Pay Systems and Market Competitiveness, indicate the base pay system is sound. Annual review to determine expected market fluctuations should continue to maintain the integrity of the system and to continue attracting, retaining and motivating quality employees in a fiscally responsible manner.

As stated previously in this report PCCG recommends the following steps be taken:

- 1. Job Description Update Update all job descriptions using a consistent format and implement a process to review and update them annually.
- 2. Band Classification System/Internal Equity Review Using the current job evaluation method, all working titles within each band (as opposed to the broad classification title) need to be evaluated individually based on the updated job descriptions to determine if they are in the correct band classification. Establish career paths within job families and across job families.
- 3. Update Salary Structure Consider both the findings of the internal equity review and external market data to update and/or revise the current salary structure.
- 4. Cost Analysis Conduct a cost analysis to determine the cost associated with implementing the changes, including a cost option to address the issue of pay compression.
- 5. Organizational Charts Human Resources should conduct an annual review and update any changes to the organizational charts.

# **Seminole County Board of County Commissioners**

## **Compensation and Benefits Analysis Services**

**Draft Report** 

December 2003



76 S. Laura St., Ste. 1400 Jacksonville, FL 32202 (800) 755-8927

## **Table of Contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Base Pay Systems Review
- 3. Market Competitiveness Review
- 4. Employee Feedback
- 5. Overall Recommendations
- 6. Appendix: Detailed Competitive Compensation Analysis

## Introduction

The Seminole County Board of County Commissioner's (the County) retained Palmer & Cay Consulting Group (PCCG) to provide compensation and benefits consulting services. Project objectives included:

- I. Validity assessment of current base pay systems to include:
  - Job analysis process (Position Information Questionnaire)
  - · Job descriptions format and general content
  - Job evaluation system
  - · Band classification system
  - Methodology for pay range development
  - Methodology for base pay adjustments
- II. Assessment of market competitiveness of benefit programs and major practices to include:
  - Salary
  - Paid Time Off
  - Health Insurance Coverage (including medical and dental plans)
  - Optional Benefits
  - Holidays
  - Deferred Compensation
  - Florida Retirement System
  - Flexible Work Hours
  - Service Awards

## **Job Analysis Process**

PCCG conducted a review of the Position Information Questionnaire (PIQ) currently utilized by the County, and we have provided the following comments by section.

#### General Information:

This section contains the standard job information required for the job evaluation process. The County should consider adding a space for the supervisor's phone number, in case the evaluator has any questions or needs to schedule a job audit.

#### General Instructions:

This section is very useful by providing answers to questions employees often have when completing the form.

#### I. Position Summary:

This section solicits information on the primary purpose of the position and tools and equipment used by the position. These are both important pieces of information to collect in a PIQ. Particularly helpful is the example provided for a Position Summary, as this is something that many employees have difficulty articulating in writing. In addition, the necessary tools and equipment required by the position is important to consider both for complexity of the job and for physical requirements.

#### II. Principal Position Duties:

Again, the instructions provided, especially the chart indicating the estimated percentage of time, should be helpful to employees completing the PIQ. For jobs being reviewed for possible reclassification, having the employee list the tasks that have been added in the last three years should assist the evaluator in determining how the job has changed.

#### III. Job Evaluation Factors:

Most job factors have seven (7) levels listed under each factor, resulting in an equally weighted point-factor system, and overall, there are clear distinctions between each level. All job factors appear to be relevant to jobs within the County. Additional comments on particular job factors are provided below:

#### 1. Formal Education/License, Certifications or Registrations

Appropriate emphasis is placed on the minimum educational requirements of the job, rather than on the employee's own level of education.

#### 2. Work Experience

The number of levels is appropriate, but the description of each level can be confusing due to overlap. The County should consider clarifying this, such as shown below:

Current	Recommended
0 to 1 year	Up to 1 year
1 year to 2 years	At least 1 year
2 years to 3 years	At least 2 years
3 years to 5 years	At least 3 years
5 years to 7 years	At least 5 years
7 years to 10 years	At least 7 years
Over 10 years	Over 10 years

## Job Analysis Process (continued)

#### 3. Impact of Actions/Impact on Budgets, Revenues and Grants/Dollar Magnitude

The County should consider clarifying the terminology used in section 3b - Impact on Budgets, Revenues and Grants, or providing specific examples of what is meant by the following terms:

- Incidental
- Supportive
- Recommending
- Controlling
- Delegating

#### 4. Complexity

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 5. Decision Making

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 6. Communication

This factor provides a good explanation and good distinction between levels.

#### 7. Managerial Skills/Supervisory Knowledge of Staff's Occupations

The County should consider asking for the number *and titles* of direct and indirect reports. It is not clear how the Supervisory Knowledge of Staff's Operations is used to evaluate jobs, and if it is not considered, it should be removed to simplify the form.

#### 8. Responsibility for the Welfare of Others

Unlike the others, this job factor has only three (3) levels and degrees within each level. The example provided shows the selection of one level of responsibility and one level of frequency; however, it appears that multiple levels with different levels of frequency could apply to some jobs. In addition, this is a job factor that is typically evaluated in a more active form, i.e., a risk/safety factor as it relates to the incumbent in the job being evaluated rather than the incumbent's responsibility for the welfare of others. In its current form, this job factor gives more weight to jobs with lead worker or supervisory responsibilities – jobs that already have many other directly related job factors.

#### 9. Innovation/Creativity

This factor provides a good explanation, good distinction between levels, and asks for specific examples, which is helpful to the evaluator.

## Job Analysis Process (continued)

#### IV. Working Conditions/Physical Efforts

This section appears to be a comprehensive list of the working conditions and physical efforts required of County jobs; however, due to the great diversity of County services and jobs, the County should consider adding space so that jobs with working conditions and physical efforts that do not fit into the categories provided can be described. Many organizations also wish to address the *frequency* of exposure when evaluating the job as well. An example is provided below.

T-18 - 15	II  Working Conditions	% of Time (Check one for each Working Condition selected)					
Check All That Apply		<u>Rare</u> 0-25%	Occasional 25-50%	<u>Frequent</u> 50-75%	<u>Constant</u> 75-100%		
	Normal office situation						
	Stockroom or warehouse						
	Typically works outside	<del></del>					
	High noise environment						
	High dust, dirt, grease environment						
	Works alone or closely with others			-			

		% of Time (Check one for each Physical Effort selected)				
Check All That Apply	II Physical Efforts	<u>Rare</u> 0-25%	<u>Occasional</u> 25-50%	<u>Frequent</u> 50-75%	<u>Constant</u> 75-100%	
	Typically sitting at a desk or table					
	Intermittently sitting, standing, stooping					
	Typically standing and/or walking		** **		<del></del>	
	Typically bending, crouching, stooping					
	Typically crawling and/or kneeling					
	Typically jumping and/or throwing					

As it is currently written, this information does not appear to be considered in the job evaluation process. It is common for governmental-job evaluation systems to incorporate this information into a compensable job factor, so that jobs with the most strenuous physical efforts and adverse working conditions are given credit accordingly. It is important to consider the physical efforts and working conditions because many of the jobs with these characteristics would not otherwise rank very high on any other job factor, and it is these characteristics that add value to the job.

#### V. Comments

This section provides an opportunity for employees and supervisors to provide any final comments. For the Supervisor's comments section, the County should consider adding a statement that instructs them not to describe the incumbent employee's qualifications, skills or work performance, as the PIQ is not the appropriate place for such comments. Instead the Supervisor's comments should focus on how their views of the *position's* job, tasks, and requirements may differ from that which the employee described.

## **Job Descriptions**

PCCG reviewed the job description formats and content currently utilized by the County, and solicited feedback from the Task Force about what changes the County desired. As shown in the table below there was a wide variety of desired changes.

	Task Force Feedback Regarding Job Descriptions							
Division	Current Uses	Desired Uses	Desired Format Changes					
Roads/Stormwater	<ul> <li>Interview/hiring process, job class modifications, disciplinary actions and informing employees of duties</li> </ul>	More loosely written to allow employees to be more widely utilized     Clearer definition as to responsibilities and goals (to reflect employee's strengths)	<ul> <li>Post hourly rate on vacancies rather than annual rate</li> <li>Signed and dated by employees</li> <li>More clearly defined to employees' actual job responsibilities</li> </ul>					
Fiscal Services	<ul> <li>To establish duties and level of difficulty with work assignments</li> <li>Review when filling vacancy</li> <li>Review when initiating annual performance plans</li> <li>Used as minimum standards for hiring and for setting performance evaluation factors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Could be expanded to reflect the time % allocated to each task</li> <li>Could be expanded to include identification/ scheduling of daily, weekly, monthly, annual tasks</li> <li>Used as minimum standards for hiring and for setting performance evaluation factors</li> </ul>	Revised position description provided (new format)					
Economic Development	To fill vacant positions     Recruitment and selection	Not recommended	Job titles could be more reflective of what the position entails					
Public Safety	<ul> <li>As part of our interview process, and to remind employees of their job obligations</li> <li>For hiring and identifying job roles and responsibilities</li> <li>To have a position posted and let person being interviewed have an idea of what would be expected of them</li> <li>Not followed closely – work is allocated based on interest and skills</li> <li>For hiring job advertisement.</li> <li>Some feel it is used solely for position posting and does not fit the actual duties assigned to the employee</li> </ul>	Establishing a career path for promotion and employee growth     Additional detail so we would not receive applicants that do not meet our needs     For hiring job advertisements     More specific to the type of work performed to attract the correct applicant and for accurate review of position pay criteria     All positions should have one, not all do	Less generalization and more specific requirements More detail Change the title to better fit the job Detailed to fit the job instead of general type duties, e.g., clerical verses inventory tracking					
Parks and Recreation	For hiring and to develop specific duties and responsibilities for employee reference	Current format meets our needs	<ul> <li>None – no need to make more specific. It's general enough to get a basic idea of the skills and job knowledge needed to perform the job</li> </ul>					
Deputy CM	Recruitment and job responsibilities	Succession planning	Position and titles being comparable					
Human Resources	Hiring/job posting, recruitment, performance planners, position analysis, notify new employees of job responsibilities	Career pathing	<ul> <li>Titles that reflect position responsibility</li> <li>Shorter, bulleted items</li> </ul>					
Community Services	Recruitment, KSA's, Evaluation,     Orientation and Performance     Measurement	Have them connected to the new proposed annual planner and performance evaluation document	Have them connected to the performance evaluation document and weight them for evaluation purposes					

## Job Descriptions (continued)

PCCG has provided the following recommendations based on our knowledge of best practices, experience with other clients, and taking into consideration feedback from the Task Force.

Although similar in format, some County jobs have job descriptions and some have position descriptions. The table below shows the sections of information contained in each format, listed in the order they appear on the documents:

Job Description	Position Description
Position Title	Classification Title
Working Title*	Work Area*
Class Code	Class Code
FLSA	FLSA
EEO Code	Effective Date
Effective Date	Function
Major Function	Education and Experience
Essential Functions	Special Requirements
Minimum Qualifications	Essential Functions
Working Conditions	Working Conditions

<sup>\*</sup>For both, having a second, more descriptive title (working title, work area) is essential when using a band classification system, so that the specific job can be identified within the broad band of job titles.

#### Recommendations - Job Description Format

- Of the two formats currently being used, PCCG recommends using the job description format for all jobs.
  - In this format, the minimum qualifications section includes knowledge, skills and abilities required, and education, experience, special licenses/training; therefore it does not require a "Special Requirements" such as in the position description.
  - This format lists the requirements of the job section in an order that is more consistent with standard compensation practices.
- A "revision date" should be added to the job description format, which will show the history of changes to the job description (this would be in addition to the effective date).

## Job Descriptions (continued)

#### Recommendations - Job Description Content

- Based on the desired edits to the job description format we received as part of the internal review process for this project, it is clear that most job descriptions require some update. The County should have a policy that outlines the process for annually reviewing all job descriptions.
  - The annual job description review process is usually initiated by Human Resources.
  - Each Director is responsible for reviewing the job descriptions and recommending updates.
  - Human Resources is responsible for reviewing the proposed updates and revising the job descriptions as appropriate.
  - The revision date information will assist in identifying departments where job description updates are not being done consistently.
- To facilitate career pathing/succession planning, the minimum qualifications section should be modified to show what qualifications are required to reach the next level in a job series. For example, what specific knowledge, skills, abilities, formal education and/or experience does an "Analyst" need to become a "Senior Analyst" and then a "Principal Analyst"?

## **Job Evaluation System**

PCCG reviewed the County's current process for classifying jobs, and found that the process incorporates several strengths, or best practices:

#### Strengths

- Requests from Departments are only considered each fiscal year during the budget process. This is a trend we are seeing in many organizations as they try to simplify their processes and operate more effectively and efficiently.
- All changes are effective the first day of the new fiscal year.
- There are clear guidelines as to what documentation is needed to initiate the process.
- The process is sound, and both internal equity and external competitiveness are being considered.

#### Recommendations

- The sample memorandums from Departments requesting an evaluation of a job should be combined into one memorandum with a check-off box indicating the type of request.
- In most organizations where HR Generalists serve as "consultants" assigned to specific departments, the individual Generalists will also meet as a group to discuss potential classifications/re-classifications. This helps to maintain internal equity by being aware of the impact a change may have across departments, and helps to anticipate other problems that may be encountered.

## **Band Classification System**

Banded classification systems, such as that used by the County, consist of broad classifications encompassing a variety of specific job titles that have similar qualifications, responsibilities and skill levels. It is a current trend in the field of compensation, and some of the desired outcomes of banded classification systems include:

- Easier administration due to fewer classifications:
- Fewer reclassification requests, since there should be a clear distinction between the band a classification is in and those above and below it; and
- Fosters a team-oriented environment by recognizing characteristics between wide varieties of job that are similarly valued.

Some of the difficulties associated with a banded classification system include:

- Market pricing. Reviewing external competitiveness by means of market pricing is done through salary surveys. An organization needs to be able to match the banded job with a more detailed description to facilitate appropriate survey matches. As an example, a salary survey will provide separate market data for a Budget Analyst, Human Resources Analyst, Network Analyst, etc. An administrator of a banded classification system has to be familiar with what specific duties fall into the "Analyst" classification in order to facilitate market pricing.
- Maintaining Internal Equity. If not carefully administered, it sometimes becomes the goal of trying to get a job into the desired pay grade by calling it an "Analyst" even though it does not have the same traits and requirements of other jobs in the category. This disrupts the balance of internal equity and can defeat the purpose of having a banded classification system.
- FLSA. The exempt/non-exempt status of jobs needs to be carefully monitored in a banded classification system, as this will be more driven by individual position than by overall classification (i.e., not all "Analysts" or "Coordinators" are necessarily the same exemption status).

#### **Observations**

The County is currently experiencing several of these difficulties associated with its band classification system. In addition, PCCG found that the County's current system appears to have jobs assigned to band classifications without any clear relationship from one level to the next. Typically, job title series in band classification systems (e.g., Analyst, Senior Analyst, Principal Analyst) identify career pathing opportunities within and across job families.

PCCG recommends that the County implement the following steps:

- Update the current job descriptions associated with the individual positions within the County.
- Review the banded classification assignment associated with each job description to ensure that the position is appropriately classified based on qualifications, responsibilities and skill levels, according to the County's current job evaluation system.
- Implement any necessary changes to assigned classifications.
- Designate benchmark jobs associated with each classification title for the purposes of market pricing.
- Maintain current annual review policy.

## Methodology for Pay Range Development

Seminole County participates in compensation surveys covering other employers with similar jobs. This information is used to determine the relative competitive position of the County's pay structure. The Pay Plan contains salary ranges with a minimum and maximum rate for each title.

PCCG's recommended methodology for pay range development and update is also based on compensation surveys. To develop pay ranges, we recommend the County use, at a minimum, the following published survey sources:

- Economic Research Institute, Salary Assessor Database
- Florida Public Personnel Association, Annual Market Survey
- Watson Wyatt:
  - ECS Geographic Report on Office Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Professional & Scientific Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Supervisory Management Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Technician and Skilled Trades Personnel Compensation
  - ECS Geographic Report on Top Management Compensation
  - ECS Industry Report on Middle Management Compensation
- William M. Mercer, Benchmark Compensation Survey

In addition to the published survey sources, PCCG recommends the County implement a customized private survey. A customized survey will help ensure that (1) the appropriate organizations are surveyed, (2) the appropriate jobs are surveyed, and (3) the matches of external organization jobs with County jobs are equivalent.

To maintain pay ranges, PCCG recommends the County use data from the WorldatWork's Annual Salary Budget Increase Survey and/or comparable survey data. For example, if the WorldatWork data indicates an average two percent salary structure adjustment, all salary ranges (minimums, midpoints and maximums) should be adjusted by approximately two percent, if economically feasible, and the range spreads should remain consistent.

While it is important review pay ranges annually, PCCG recommends that organizations conduct a detailed market analysis every three years to assess the integrity of its pay ranges with actual market conditions (i.e., midpoints should be closely aligned with the desired market position outlined in the organization's compensation strategy, or pay philosophy).

## Methodology for Pay Range Development (continued)

PCCG reviewed the County's current ranges for pay bands two through ten, and our observations are noted below:

		Hourly			Annual		]	
Pay Band	Band Minimum	Band Midpoint	Band Maximum	Band Minimum	Band Midpoint	Band Maximum	Range Spread (Width)	* MP
010	\$35.94	\$51.21	\$66.48	\$ 74,755	\$106,517	\$138,278	85%	30%
009	\$27.69	\$39.46	\$51.23	\$ 57,595	\$ 82,077	\$106,558	85%	24%
008	\$22.36	\$31.87	\$41.38	\$ 46,509	\$ 66,290	\$ 86,070	85%	22%
007	\$18.33	\$26.13	\$33.92	\$ 38,126	\$ 54,340	\$ 70,554	85%	22%
006	\$15.02	\$21.41	\$27.80	\$_31,242	\$ 44,533	\$ 57,824	85%	22%
005	\$12.32	\$17.55	\$22.78	\$ 25,626	\$ 36,504	\$ 47,382	85%	22%
004	\$10.10	\$14.39	\$18.68	\$ 21,008	\$ 29,931	\$ 38,854	85%	15%
003	\$8.78	\$12.51	\$16.23	\$ 18,262	\$ 26,010	\$ 33,758	85%	15%
002	\$7.63	\$10.87	\$14.11	\$ 15,870	\$ 22,610	\$ 29,349	85%	

#### Overall Observations

- The widths of the salary ranges are broader than those typically found for similar jobs. This results in the minimums being too low, which may lead to recruiting problems, and the maximums to be too high, which leads to potentially paying salaries that are higher than market norms, and is not an effective use of salary budget dollars.
- The midpoint differentials should reflect the differences in market rates for benchmark jobs within each pay band. Based on the market competitiveness review (details are provided in the next section of this report), overall the County's midpoints are slightly below the market median.
- Based on the market review, adjustments to the current pay bands will be required to facilitate career pathing within and across job families. Some options to accomplish this include adding additional pay grades with a common midpoint differential or widening the ranges to true "broad bands" and creating "pay zones" within the broad bands.

## Methodology for Base Pay Adjustments

PCCG reviewed the County's current base pay adjustment policies, and found that they incorporate sound compensation practices, provided they are administered consistently. We have provided comments below for each method of base pay adjustment:

#### New hire pay

This policy is conservative yet provides some flexibility for hiring rates depending on an applicant's experience or skill level.

#### Promotional increases

This policy also provides flexibility to managers, but it is clear that a salary must stay within the salary range. If the County is experiencing inequities in promotional increases, or the perception of such, then it should consider adopting a policy with a more definite promotional amount.

#### Lateral transfers

The County's later transfer policy and procedures are written according to standard compensation practice.

#### Reclassifications/transfers to lower level positions

This policy incorporates a standard compensation practice by allowing some flexibility for a salary adjustment, under certain circumstances, providing it does not exceed the salary range.

#### Reclassifications to higher-level position

This policy incorporates a standard compensation practice by allowing some flexibility for a salary adjustment, and outlines that the salary should be at least equivalent to the new minimum.

#### Internal/external equity adjustments

This practice is growing in popularity, and is desirable because it helps to maintain morale so that new employees aren't seen as more valuable than tenured employees.

#### Temporary assignments

The amount of the temporary increase of 5% or to minimum of higher pay grade is a standard practices, but the County should consider reducing the number of days, which is currently 30, before awarding temporary assignment pay.

#### Annual merit increases

The County's policy is consistent with standard compensation practices and a leader in the public sector by basing salary adjustments on performance.

#### Trainee positions

PCCG considers this to be a best practice, one which is particularly helpful for hard to fill positions or for positions with specific minimum qualifications which are usually obtained through on the job training.

#### Completion of career service probation

This is a standard compensation practice, and one that is commonly used to support a base pay adjustment because it is based on demonstrated ability to perform the work.

## **Average Salaries**

Based on competitive market data for over 80 benchmark jobs, we found the County's average salaries to be overall 3.8% below market median and overall 5.4% below market average. Comparisons to market by pay band are shown below:

	Average Compared	Salaries to Market
	To	To Market Average
Pay Band 2	(7.6%)	(9.9%)
Pay Band 3	(2.1%)	(4.0%)
Pay Band 4	(3.9%)	(7.3%)
Pay Band 5	(1.6%)	(3.6%)
Pay Band 6	(3.5%)	(6.6%)
Pay Band 7	(7.6%)	(7.6%)
Pay Band 8	(5.3%)	(1.9%)
Pay Band 9	5.9%	2.2%
All Benchmark Jobs	(3.8%)	(5.4%)

The following benchmark jobs have average salaries considered to be outside of a competitive market range (+/- 15% of the market median). This indicates that internal and/or external equity issues exist within the County.

Benchmark Jobs with Average Salaries  Below a Competitive Market Range							
Job Class 🐍 🍃		Compared to Market Median					
Technician	Help Desk	(31.5%)					
Program Manager	Human Resources (Comp Manager)	(27.8%)					
Manager	Fiscal Services	(23.1%)					
Principal Analyst	Web Developer	(21.7%)					
Assistant Coordinate	or Fleet Services	(19.9%)					
Team Member	Meter Reader	(17.5%)					
Senior Analyst	Senior Budget Analyst	(15.8%)					

Bene	chmark Jobs with Average Salaries ove a Competitive Market Range	
Job Class	Job Title	Compared to Market Median
	Assistant Fire Chief	16.8%
Director	17.7%	
Senior Staff Assistant	Records Custodian/Imaging	24.1%

## **Pay Band Midpoints**

Based on competitive market data for over 80 benchmark jobs, we found the County's pay band *midpoints* to be overall 1.2% *below market median* and overall 2.8% *below market average*. Comparisons to market by Pay Band are shown below:

	Midpoints Compared to Market						
	To the						
	Market Median	Market Average					
Pay Band 2	2.4%	(0.2%)					
Pay Band 3	2.4%	0.5%					
Pay Band 4	(1.0%)	(1.7%)					
Pay Band 5	(2.6%)	(5.0%)					
Pay Band 6	0.7%	(1.4%)					
Pay Band 7	(1.0%)	(0.6%)					
Pay Band 8	(9.5%)	(9.1%)					
Pay Band 9	(3.5%)	(9.6%)					
All Benchmark Jobs	(1.2%)	(2.8%)					

The following benchmark jobs have *midpoints* considered to be outside of a competitive market range (+/- 15% of the market median). This indicates that internal and/or external equity issues exist within the County.

The state of the s	Benchmark Jobs with Midpoints <i>clow a</i> Competitive Market Range	
Job Class	Job Title	Compared to Market Median
Assistant Coordinator	Fleet Services	(40.1%)
Program Manager	Geographic Information Services	(27.8%)
Senior Technician	Computer Systems Administrator	(25.6%)
Manager	Planning	(25.1%)
Coordinator	Contracts Administrator/Engineering	(18.2%)

	Benchmark Jobs with Midpoints of the Competitive Market Range	a remain
	Job Title	Compared to Market Median
Associate Technician	Solid Waste	15.6%
Assistant Librarian	Assistant Librarian	18.6%
Senior Staff Assistant	Records Custodian/Imaging	22.5%
Chief Inspector	Public Safety/Hazardous Materials	27.9%
Tactical Radio Operator	Tactical Radio Operator	35.6%
Senior Planner	Economic Development	45.1%

## **Summary of Competitive Market Data**

The following table (sorted by pay band) provides a summary of the competitive market data and Seminole County comparisons to market. The detailed competitive compensation analysis is provided in the Appendix.

Seminole County Salary Data									Seminole County Average Salaries Compared to Market		Seminole County Midpoints Compared to Market	
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Average Salary		i Spirit Talifa Talifania	Midoonie				To Market Median	To Market Average	To Market Median	To Market Average
Staff Assistant	Clerk Typist	\$21,743	2	\$15,870	\$22,610	\$29,349		\$23,051	(5,3%)≟	(5.7%)	(1.5%)	(1.9%)
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	2	\$15,870	\$22,610	\$29,349		\$22,956	(7.4%)	(9.0%)	0.3%	(1.5%)
Team Member	Meter Reader	\$18,209	2	\$15,870	\$22,610	\$29,349	\$52.00	\$23,146	(17.5%)	(21.3%)	2.4%	(2.3%)
Staff Assistant	Library Services	\$20,786	2	\$15,870	\$22,610	\$29,349	24 TATE	\$21,584	(0.2%)	(3.7%)	8.6%	4.8%
Team Member	Roads/Stormwater	\$19,526	22	\$15,870	\$22,610	\$29,349	aratists.	No Match	iva	n/a	n/a	n/a
							Pay Bar	nd 2 Average	(7.6%)	(9.9%)	2.4%	(0.2%)
Dispatcher	Roads	\$26,957	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$29,965	(8:0%)	(10.0%)	(11.2%)	(13.2%)
Senior Staff Assistant	Clerical Admin	\$30,900	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$30,420	¥67%	1.6%	(10.2%)	(14.5%)
Senior Team Member	Heavy Equipment Operator	\$25,125	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$29,203	117%)	(14.0%)	(8,6%)	(10.9%)
Senior Staff Assistant	Animal Services	\$24,538	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$27,989	1111%)	(12.3%)	(5.8%)	(7.1%)
Associate Technician	Facilities Maintenance	\$24,248	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$27,265	(11.8%)	(11.1%)	(5.4%)	(4.6%)
Senior Staff Assistant	Senior Accounting Clerk	\$25,182	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$26,697	(4.1%)	(5.7%)	(1.0%)	(2.6%)
Associate Technician	Permit Tech	\$24,651	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758	10 vist 75/20	\$25,796	(4.2%)	(4.4%)	1.1%	0.8%
Associate Technician	Support Services	\$25,460	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758	(15) x - (15)	\$23,992	8'0%	6.1%	10.3%	8.4%
Associate Technician	Solid Waste	\$20,757	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$22,943	· (7.7%) · ·	(9.5%)	15.6%	13.4%
Assistant Librarian	Assistant Librarian	\$21,226	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758	10.074	\$22,328	.i (3.2%) .i	(4.9%)	18.6%	16.5%
Senior Staff Assistant	Records Custodian/Imaging	\$26,354	3	\$18,262	\$26,010	\$33,758		\$21,896	24.1%	20.4%	22.5%	18.8%
							Pay Bar	nd 3 Average:	(2.1%)	(4.0%)	2.4%	0.5%
Assistant Coordinator	Fleet Services	\$40,019	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$50,211	(19.9%)	(20.3%)	(40.1%)	(40.4%)
Technician	Accounting Technician	\$33,509	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$32,592	2.3%	2.8%	(8.6%)	(8.2%)
Administrative Assistant	Administrative Assistant	\$33,581	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$34,793	3.3%	(3.5%)	(7.9%)	(14.0%)
Technician	Solid Waste Operator III	\$26,915	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$31,165	(14.3%)	(13.6%)	(4.7%)	(4.0%)

	Seminole County Salary Data				1863 1863 1863				Average	e County Salaries I to Market	Seminole Midp Compared	oints
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Average Salary					( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	page to produce	To Market Median	To Market Average	To Market Median	To Market Average
Technician	Help Desk	\$21,008	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$32,144	(31.5%)	(34.6%)	(2.4%)	(6.9%)
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$32,127	÷ (3.8%)	(8.9%)	(1.7%)	(6.8%)
Mechanic	Mechanic	\$28,120	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$29,942	(4.6%)	(6.1%)	1.5%	(0.0%)
Assistant Supervisor	Probation	\$30,836	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$29,397	4.9%	4.9%	1.8%	1.8%
Technician	Procurement Technician	\$29,370	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$28,531	5 <b>3%</b>	2.9%	7.3%	4.9%
Technician	Copy and Mail Center	\$28,580	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$28,216	31%	1.3%	8.0%	6.1%
Tactical Radio Operato	Tactical Radio Operator	\$24,760	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$26,210	12.1%	(5.5%)	35.6%	14.2%
Animal Services Officer	Animal Services Officer	\$22,880	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$25,587	n/a* . /	(10.6%)	n/a	17.0%
Specialist	Community Assistance Specialist II	\$31,320	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		No Match	⇒ n/a ‡	n/a	n/a	n/a
P.A.Y. Officer	P.A.Y. Officer	\$29,520	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		No Match	i⊸n∕a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Crew Chief	Roads	\$26,513	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$30,405	sn/a-	(12.8%)	n/a	(1.6%)
Technician	Roadway Signing and Markings	\$26,566	4	\$21,008	\$29,931	\$38,854		\$26,140	nla S	1.6%	n/a	14.5%
	<u> </u>		•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pav Ba	nd 4 Average	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	(7.3%)	(1.0%)	(1.7%)
Senior Technician	Computer Systems Administrator	\$43,451	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$49.921	(11.5%)	(13.0%)	(25.6%)	(26.9%)
Coordinator	Contracts Administrator/Engineering	vacant	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$45,332	n/a-	n/a	(18.2%)	(19.5%)
Certified Tradesworker	Facilities Maintenance	\$35,066	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	学。73	\$41,251	(13.3%)	(15.0%)	(9.7%)	(11.5%)
Team Leader	Circulation Services	\$36,957	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	My E	\$39,495	(7.7%)	(6.4%)	(8.8%)	(7.6%)
Lead Technician	Traffic Signals	vacant	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$36,214	n/a	n/a	(5.1%)	0.8%
Lead Inspector	Building Inspection	\$35,701	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	77.74	\$37,961	(5.3%)	(6.0%)	(3.2%)	(3.8%)
Team Leader	Road Operations/Stormwater	\$35,256	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$34,865	(4.3%)	1.1%	(0.9%)	4.7%
Lead Inspector	Roads	\$40,007	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$37,062	97%	7.9%	0.1%	(1.5%)
Senior Technician	Support Services	vacant	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$37,423	n/a	n/a	0.9%	(2.5%)
Lead Inspector	Environmental Services	\$38,444	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$38,208	8.2%	0.6%	2.8%	(4.5%)
Senior Technician	Communications Electronics Bench Technician	\$31,963	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	F	\$35,949	(6.9%) \$	(11.1%)	6.3%	1.5%
Team Leader	Water and Sewer Maintenance	\$38,228	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	<b>Market</b>	\$33,922	13.2%	12.7%	8.1%	7.6%
Librarian	Librarian	\$33,290	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$34,828	(1.1%)	(4.4%)	8.4%	4.8%
Senior Technician	Signals	\$34,256	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$33,757	1.9%	1.5%	8.6%	8.1%

### Market Competitiveness Review

	Seminole County Salary Data				All Signatures of the second s			The second secon	Average	e County Salaries I to Market	Seminole Midp Compared	oints.
JOB CLASS	<b>JOB TITLE</b>	Average Salary							To Market Median	To Market Average	To Market Median	To Market Average
Coordinator	Emergency Management	\$44,741	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	<b>ENGLIS</b>	No Match	: iva	n/a	n/a	n/a
Coordinator	Fixed Assets	\$38,501	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$46,820	, n/a	(17.8%)	n/a	(22.0%)
Team Leader	Parks and Recreation	\$40,487	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382		\$39,476	na 🧵	2.6%	n/a	(7.5%)
Probation Officer	Probation Officer	\$32,058	5	\$25,626	\$36,504	\$47,382	Toward	No Match	nva	n/a	n/a	n/a
							Pay Ba	nd 5 Average	(1.6%)	(3.6%)	(2.6%)	(5.0%)
Senior Coordinator	Facilities Maintenance	\$49,224	. 6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$51,808	(4,9%)	(5.0%)	(14.0%)	(14.0%)
Chief Inspector	Building	\$53,373	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$49,645	40.6%	7.5%	(7.7%)	(10.3%)
Senior Analyst	Administrative Services	\$41,974	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$48,628	(12.6%)	(13.7%)	(7.3%)	(8.4%)
Engineer	Development Review	\$41,280	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$47,566	(12.7%) %	(13.2%)	(5.8%)	(6.4%)
Senior Analyst	Senior Budget Analyst	\$38,813	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$46,381	(15.8%)	(16.3%)	(3.4%)	(4.0%)
Senior Coordinator	Solid Waste Contracts Management	\$42,505	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$48,066	(6.5%)	(11.6%)	(2.1%)	(7.4%)
Senior Coordinator	Support Services	\$42,828	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$44,768	. (24%)	(4.3%)	1.8%	(0.5%)
Senior Buyer	Senior Procurement Analyst	\$44,096	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$44,881	. 45%	(1.7%)	2.5%	(0.8%)
Planner	Planning and Development	\$40,382	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$43,125	(3.7%)	(6.4%)	6.2%	3.3%
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$42,169	. 38%	0.6%	9.0%	5.6%
Chief Inspector	Public Safety/Hazardous Materials	\$36,192	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824	and the second	\$37,787	3.9%	(4.2%)	27.9%	17.9%
Plans Examiner	Development Review	\$36,733	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824		\$41,322	n/a⊹	(11.1%)	n/a	7.8%
Senior Coordinator	Information Services	\$36,084	6	\$31,242	\$44,533	\$57,824	的人	No Match	, ri/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
							Pay Bar	nd 6 Average	(3.5%)	(6.6%)	0.7%	(1.4%)
Program Manager	Geographic Information Services	\$64,584	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$76,197	(14,2%).	(15.2%)	(27.8%)	(28.7%)
Program Manager	Human Resources (Comp Manager)	\$44,803	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$61,663	-(27,8%)	(27.3%)	(12.4%)	(11.9%)
Principal Coordinator	Project Management	\$52,285	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$58,492	(12.2%)	(10.6%)	(8.7%)	(7.1%)
Principal Coordinator	Development Review	vacant	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$59,008	n/a 🛬	n/a	(8.1%)	(7.9%)
Senior Engineer	PEI (Projects Manager)	\$55,089	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$60,503	(6.5%)	(8.9%)	(7.8%)	(10.2%)
Principal Analyst	Web Developer	\$45,848	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$56,382	(21.7%).	(18.7%)	(7.1%)	(3.6%)
Program Manager	Community Resources	\$51,127	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$55,339	(10.8%)	(7.6%)	(5.2%)	(1.8%)
Principal Coordinator	Information Services	\$48,892	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$54,342	(10.9%)	(10.0%)	(1.0%)	(0.0%)
Program Manager	Deputy Building Official	\$59,228	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$56,049	9.9%	5.7%	0.8%	(3.0%)

### Market Competitiveness Review

A. S. T.	Seminole County Salary Data				ew Bandi)				Average	tole County Seminole Count ge Salaries Midpoints red to Market Compared to Mar		oints
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Average Salary							To Market Median	To Market	To Market Median	To Market Average
Senior Engineer	Development Review (Code)	\$53,061	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$53,922	(0.8%)	(1.6%)	1.6%	0.8%
Senior Planner	Planning	\$49,164	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554	4477 E	\$53,391	(6.6%)	(7.9%)	3.2%	1.8%
Principal Planner	Development Review	\$49,005	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554	17.00 E	\$42,899	3.1%	14.2%	14.3%	26.7%
Senior Planner	Economic Development	\$40,331	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$40,385	7.7%	(0.1%)	45.1%	34.6%
Program Manager	Community Assistance	\$47,819	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554		\$53,180	- Zila E	(10.1%)	n/a	2.2%
Principal Coordinator	Technical Engineer	vacant	7	\$38,126	\$54,340	\$70,554	- Vo Malon	No Match	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
							Pay Ba	nd 7 Average:	(7.6%)	(7.6%)	(1.0%)	(0.6%)
Manager	Planning	\$80,205	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$83,726	(9,3%)_	(4.2%)	(25.1%)	(20.8%)
Manager	Information Services	\$65,395	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$76,197	(13.1%)	(14.2%)	(11.9%)	(13.0%)
Manager	Fiscal Services	\$57,242	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$76,333	(23.1%)	(25.0%)	(11.0%)	(13.2%)
Manager	Parks and Recreation	\$61,818	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$61,807	(12.7%)	0.0%	(6.4%)	7.3%
Assistant Fire Chief	Assistant Fire Chief	\$81,557	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$76,699	16.8%	6.3%	(5.1%)	(13.6%)
Principal Engineer	Engineering	\$71,018	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070	Serve 2	\$66,709	- 9.6%	6.5%	2.3%	(0.6%)
Building Official	Building Official	\$86,070	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		\$73,397	a Tila	17.3%	n/a	(9.7%)
Manager	Probation	\$63,898	8	\$46,509	\$66,290	\$86,070		No Match	, ¿ n/a	n/a .	n/a	n/a
							Pay Ba	nd 8 Average:	(5.3%)	(1.9%)	(9.5%)	(9.1%)
Director	Planning and Development	\$92,248	9	\$57,595	\$82,077	\$106,558		\$94,495	(0:3%)	(2.4%)	(11.3%)	(13.1%)
Director	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	9	\$57,595	\$82,077	\$106,558		\$85,438	0.3%	3.6%	(7.0%)	(3.9%)
Director	Information Technologies	\$89,648	9	\$57,595	\$82,077	\$106,558		\$83,589	-17.7%	7.2%	7.8%	(1.8%)
Director	Public Works	\$102,274	9	\$57,595	\$82,077	\$106,558		\$101,982	iva	0.3%	n/a	(19.5%)
							Pay Ba	nd 9 Average:	5.9%	2.2%	(3.5%)	(9.6%)
Senior Programmer	Senior Programmer	\$57,585	Not listed	n/a	n/a	n/a		\$61,035	(92%)	(5.7%)	n/a	n/a
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator	\$29,492	Not listed	n/a	n/a	n/a		\$32,524	(0.4%)	(9.3%)	n/a	n/a
						Overa	all Average for A	II Pay Bands:	(3.8%)	(5.4%)	(1.2%)	(2.8%)

#### **Benefits**

#### Paid Time Off

Paid time off leave plans are a current trend. For the County, new full-time employees accrue 20 days per year of Paid Time Off (16 days for 24-hour shift personnel), and accrual rates increase with service time. This is competitive with the market.

#### Health Insurance Coverage

Employees may choose a Managed Health Care (HMO) or Designated Provider Program (POSHMO). Dependent coverage is also available at employee expense. Most organizations comparable in employee size to Seminole County offer a variety of medical plans from which to choose. In our experience however, most public sector employers contribute towards dependent coverage as well.

#### **Optional Benefits**

Seminole County offers the following additional optional benefits to employees:

- Dental Insurance: A choice of prepaid or indemnity plan available for employees and dependents at employee expense. It is a common practice cross-industry to offer dental insurance at employee expenses; however, it is more common for public sector employers to contribute towards the cost.
- Additional Life Insurance: At employee expense, additional life insurance up to four times annual salary; dependent coverage also available. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.
- Short-Term Disability Insurance: At employee expense. The availability of STD insurance is a standard practice for organizations utilizing PTO.
- Flexible Spending Account: A pre-tax account for childcare and un-reimbursed medical expenses. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.
- Pre-Tax Payroll Deductions: Under Section 125 of the IRS Code, certain payroll deductions for insurance coverage may be taken out prior to income being taxed. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.

#### **Holidays**

Seminole County currently offers 11 paid holidays, which include an employee birthday holiday and a floating Work/Life Day. This number of paid holidays, as well as the policies and procedures associated with holiday pay is comparable with other public sector organizations. Eleven paid holidays is slightly more competitive than what is normally offered by private sector organizations.

#### **Deferred Compensation**

Seminole County employees may choose to have pre-tax payroll deductions made for deposit into a 457 savings plan up to the IRS-established maximum of \$11,000 per year. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.

#### Florida Retirement System

All employees in regularly established positions are automatically covered from the first day of employment. Seminole County pays all contributions into the system in the employee's behalf. This is a standard practice and competitive with the market.

### Benefits (continued)

#### Flexible Work Hours

The use of flex-time is a growing trend among all types of organizations, and the County should reconsider the use of this program, if feasible. The table below summarizes the feedback we received from the Task Force about PTO and flexible work hours.

Department	PTO A STATE OF THE	Utilizing Flexible Work Hours?
Parks and Recreation	Division is open 14 hours a day, 7 days a week, 363 days a year – flexibility is not possible in this environment.	None
Public Safety	PTO is too flexible resulting in excessive unscheduled PTO. PTO is not fair between 56 hr and 40 hr employees	Only to meet needs of department, not employee
Economic Development	Effective, flexible and meet the needs	None
Fiscal Services	Mixed responses (both effective and not effective)	None Eliminated by County policy
Roads/Stormwater Division	Feel there was decrease in time when County converted to PTO system	Some – before it was taken away
County Manager	Effective, flexible and meet staffs needs	Limited to what is work related
Human Resources	PTO is effective, flexible and meets our needs	No – however, flextime would be viewed as a benefit and would not be detrimental to service
Community Services	Flexible and meet our needs	Per County policy, flexible work schedules were drastically reduced this year. No 4 day work weeks. Have the ability to adjust for work purposes only

#### Service Awards

The County offers a comprehensive program of both formal and informal recognition and incentives to reward outstanding performance and enhance service to the citizens of Seminole County. We asked the Task Force to tell us what three Service Awards the employees in their Department appreciated the most, and the following received the most responses:

- Pat on the Back Award
- Years of Service Recognition Award
- Employee of the Month/Year
- Teamwork
- Gift Cards

Rewards and recognition programs are a current trend in compensation programs, and they should be monitored regularly for effectiveness and modified as needed.

### **Employee Feedback**

We have included the following *employee comments* in this report because we believe they are representative of some of the compensation and benefits related issues that currently exist within the County. Comments have been edited by PCCG to make them more concise or to make them more "generic" and not identify the employee.

### Compensation for Obtaining Certain Job-Related Licenses (i.e., Inspectors)

Training efforts should have compensation rewards so that employees can strive to be the best while our jobs remain exciting. Compensation for efforts helps us feel the growth and continued support from our employer that our knowledge and education is our "Stock in Trade."

#### Flex-Time

Flex-time is a morale booster and it offers employees the ability to work hours that are more conducive to their home/personal life. It is a benefit for some that far exceeds increases in other areas of the entire benefit package. It might be for child care or just simply to avoid the central Florida traffic at rush hour; for whatever reason, flex-time appears to be a valuable tool in keeping valued employees.

#### Fire Department Benefits

Fire Department benefits should be reviewed, especially to compare our time off policy fairly as it compares to other Fire Departments in the area. We have been informed by some in administration that we receive much more time off than surrounding departments. When you compare our paid time off (PTO) to other Fire Departments' Vacation Time alone it does appear, at first glance, that we receive more time off. This is not the case. Similarly sized Fire Departments in the area also receive Sick Time and Kelly Days. We do not receive these additional benefits. When compared fairly we actually receive less total time off.

#### Administrative/Clerical/Accounting Positions

Due to some of these positions having the same or similar title, an in-depth review of these job families is needed to determine where internal inequities exist.

#### Traffic Signal Shop

The jobs of technicians, lead and senior technicians, senior coordinators and principal coordinators have changed so dramatically to keep up with technology, an in-depth review of these jobs is needed.

#### Base Pay (Pay Compression)

It is very demoralizing to have new employees come to work and start out making what it took some of us many years to make. I know we need to have an attractive starting salary, but it is really discouraging for the rest of us. How about compensating the people that have been here for years that help make Seminole County what it is today? Without us the County wouldn't be able to consider offering a base pay increase.

### **Employee Feedback**

#### Exempt/Non-Exempt Status

The FLSA status of some jobs need to be reviewed, particularly those jobs in banded classifications which may require different FLSA status within the same job title. Also, the policy related to promotions when a job becomes exempt should be reviewed; in some cases, a promotion results in less salary due to no longer receiving overtime.

#### Insurance

The dental insurance that we have now is not adequate to meet our needs. Rising insurance costs reduce and in some cases eliminate an employee's merit increase.

#### Part-Time Benefits

The County lacks medical and dental benefits for part-time employees. The County should look at other government agencies that do offer medical benefits to their part-time employees. Even if the premiums are more, it would be better than no medical options at all.

### **Overall Recommendations**

Our findings, based on this initial assessment of the County's Base Pay Systems and Market Competitiveness, indicate the need for a more comprehensive review. This will ensure that the most appropriate internal equity and salary structure adjustments are made to enable the County to attract, retain and motivate quality employees in a fiscally responsible manner.

As stated previously in this report PCCG recommends the following steps be taken:

- 1. Job Description Update Update all job descriptions using a consistent format and implement a process to review and update them annually.
- 2. Band Classification System/Internal Equity Review Using the current job evaluation method, all working titles within each band (as opposed to the broad classification title) need to be evaluated individually based on the updated job descriptions to determine if they are in the correct band classification.
- 3. Update Salary Structure Consider both the findings of the internal equity review and external market data to update and/or revise the current salary structure.
- 4. Cost Analysis Conduct a cost analysis to determine the cost associated with implementing the changes, including a cost option to address the issue of pay compression.

	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA									Aged Marke	et Salary Data	
		Average	SURVEY				SURV		25th	50th	75th	
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Salary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	#INCS	CODE	SCOPE	%ile	%ile.	%ile	Avg
Technician	Accounting Technician	\$33,509	ERI	Accounting Technician Generic	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 3 years	\$30,323	\$32,759	\$36,658	\$33,315
Technician	Accounting Technician	\$33,509	FLOC/FPPA	Accounting Technician	28	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	1 7		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$31,869
											프로 했다.	
Jan San Carlo Salver 1860	Accounting Technician Average	\$33,509	ili. 18 miles in 18	The transfer of the second of	the Samuel Confe		<u> </u>	<u> Para Para Bandakan</u>	\$30,323	\$32,759	\$36,658	\$32,592
Administrative Assistant	Administrative Assistant	\$33,581	ECS-Office	Administrative Assistant	1552	19,170	7250	All Orgs - Level 2	\$28,655	\$32,368	\$36,366	\$32,939
Administrative Assistant	Administrative Assistant	\$33,581	ERI	Administrative Assistant	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$30,041	\$32,642	\$36,806	\$33,200
Administrative Assistant	Administrative Assistant	\$33,581	FLOC/FPPA	Administrative Assistant	33	n/a	n/a	Ail Orgs: >1000 FTEs		Same and		\$38,239
	Administrative Assistant Average	\$33,581							\$29,348	\$32,505	\$36,586	\$34,793
Senior Analyst	Administrative Services	\$41,974	ECS-Prof	Financial or Business Analyst	785	3,572	0630	All Orgs - Level 2	\$40,690	\$45,422	\$50,248	\$45,895
Senior Analyst	Administrative Services	\$41,974	ERI	Economic Analyst	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$46.586	\$50.621	\$57,076	_ \$51,360
			1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			, ,	1.0,000	400,021	40, 0, 0	401,000
<u>and the same of t</u>	Administrative Services Average	\$41,974	<u>. 12 - 3-1 - 3</u>	<u> </u>	:		1		\$43,638	\$48,021	\$53,662	\$48,628
Senior Staff Assistant	Animal Services	\$24,538	ECS-Office	Administrative Assistant	989	12,428	7250	Ali Orgs - Level 1	\$24,276	\$27,608	\$31,416	\$27,989
	Animal Services Average		Market Later	생물을 받는 나라 보는 그들은 그		egin et e	74 S		المحدد المحدد	ووسالمتهد		5 2
Animal Services Officer	Animal Services Average Animal Services Officer	\$24,538 \$22,880	FLOC/FPPA	Animal Control Officer	16	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	\$24,276	\$27,608	\$31,416	\$27,989 \$25,587
Animiai Services Citicei	Anunai Services Officer	J22,880	IFLOCIFFFA	Animal Control Officer	1 10	IVA	i iva	All Olgs. > 1000 FTES	را في را برخوا		lagran and the	<b>\$</b> 20,387
and and will read to	Animal Services Officer Average	\$22,880		하는 물리 회사가 된 회사를 내고 있었다.		100.00	W. 1997		n/a	n/a	n/a	\$25,587
	1		}			Ī				- 3		
Assistant Fire Chief	Assistant Fire Chief	\$81,557	FLOC/FPPA	Fire Chief (adjusted for Assistant)	29	n/a	n/a	Ali Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$80,057
				Assistant Fire Chief (Operations	ĺ.				İ	Total Care No.	į.	1 .40 -50
Assistant Fire Chief	Assistant Fire Chief	\$81,557	IONA	Chief/Deputy Chief)	12	17	<b>2</b> 	All Organizations	\$68,336	\$69.845	<b>\$76,5</b> 60	\$73,344
	Assistant Fire Chief Average	\$81,557			1	Salating			\$68,336 <sub>=</sub> -	\$69,845	\$76.560	<b>576</b> 699
Assistant Librarian	Assistant Librarian	\$21,226	ERI	Library Assistant	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 4 years	\$22,615	\$24,019	\$26,265	\$24,478
Assistant Librarian	Assistant Librarian	\$21,226	FLOC/FPPA	Library Assistant	18	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	- WEE, UTO	924(0.13	\$20,200	\$21.586
Assistant Librarian	Assistant Librarian	\$21,226	Plant City	Library Assistant I	8	15	28	All Organizations	\$17,638	\$19,845	\$22,163	\$20,920
THE POST WILL Y	STORES SON SON STREET		100						\$ V	TO THE STATE OF TH	San Laboration	
and a second second second second	Assistant Librarian Average	\$21,226	$\mathfrak{L} \mapsto \mathbb{R}[\sqrt{X} \times \mathbb{R}]$	· 第45 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 ·	1 1 1 × 6 3 10 4	Salara Aligh at 1	- A		\$20,127	\$21,932	\$24,214	\$22,328,
Chief Inspector	Building	\$53,373	ERI	Construction Inspector	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 10 years	\$38,961	\$42,581	\$48,372	\$48,241
			i		1			1				
Chief Inspector	Building	<b>\$</b> 53,373	Plant City	Building Superintendent (Official)	14	14	4	All Organizations	\$47,827	<b>\$</b> 53,906*	\$61,972	\$56,049
	Building Average	\$53,373		기념, 기계보다 그렇게 맞춰졌다.			第一 第		\$43.394	\$48,283	\$55.172 °°	\$49,645
The state of the state of the		5 400/21.0 Z-			ı		V P - 7 - 1	1	<del></del>	310,243	. 400;132	2,443,643
Lead Inspector	Building Inspection	\$35,701	ECS-Tech	Construction & Building Inspector	11	201	5970	All Orgs - Level 1	\$31,606	\$39,127	\$41,317	\$38,080
Lead Inspector	Building Inspection	\$35,701	ERI	Construction Inspector	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$33,364	\$36,463	\$41,423	\$37,062
		†	T	Building Construction Inspector						. •		
Lead Inspector	Building Inspection	\$35,701	FLOC/FPPA	(Single License)	24	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$38,244
Lead Inspector	Building Inspection	\$35,701	Ft Pierce	Building Inspector I	14	68	4	Alt Organizations	\$33,280	\$37,543	\$45,170	\$38,458
												and the same
Maria e prim transferim	Building Inspection Average	\$35,701	in the same of	, a faire de la compansa de la faire de la compansa	00	19 2 19 1	ese dono.	lano con con	\$32,750	\$37,711	\$42,636	\$37,961
Building Official	Building Official	\$86,070	FLOC/FPPA	Chief Building Official	26	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		1.5	1.5	\$73,397
	Building Official Average	\$86,070					7.5		n/a	n/a	п/а	\$73,397
Tearn Leader	Circulation Services	\$36,957	ECS-Prof	Technical Librarian	82	338	2280	Ali Orgs - Level 2	\$36,621	\$39,650	\$44,949	\$40,217
1 CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	1	1	† ·		·		- <del></del>		700,021	400,000	<b>▼</b> 11,010	₩40,411
	1	l	ECS-Prof	Employment/Recruiter Representative	300	840	1265	All Orgs - Level 2	\$36,811	\$40,407	\$45,611	\$41,258
Feam Leader	Circulation Services	\$36,957	ECS-Prot	Employment rectailer Representative	300 ;	. 040			300.011	340.407	<b>- 20</b> +1∪.011	
Feam Leader	Circulation Services Circulation Services	\$36,957 \$36,957	FLOC/FPPA	Librarian	18	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	330,011	340,407	<u> </u>	\$37,008
									\$30,011	340,407	#40,011	

s					SURVEY DATA	\			(adjusted geo	Aged Mark	et Salary Data	et in Sanford, FL)
	1	Ι. ΄							1.000	10727		1 10-46 198
IOD CLASS	IOD TITLE	Average	SURVEY	CURVEY MATOU	40000	# 11100	SURV		25th	50th	75th	19er die
JOB CLASS			SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	# INCS	CODE	SCOPE	₩ %lle ···	%  e		Avg
		+	ECS-Office	Secretary	3,346	39,833	2470	All Orgs - All Levels	\$25,894	\$29,417	\$32,939	\$29,702
Senior Staff Assistant			ERI	Secretary 2	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 2 years	\$26,218	\$28,489	\$32,122	\$29,004
Senior Stan Assistant	Clerical Admin	\$30,900	FLOC/FPPA	Administrative Secretary	26	n/a	n/a	All Orgs; >1000 FTEs		£.	er. Transport	\$32,554
	Clerical Admin Average	\$30,900	· 🍇 🖟 🔭 .	[1] 1일	1. July 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		1.1					
Staff Assistant	Clerk Typist	\$21,743	ECS-Office	Maria de la companya	.400	0.250		<u>Zan artini wakishiriwa mpenjajiwania</u> Tangan	\$26,056	\$28,953	\$32,530	\$30,420
Staff Assistant	Clerk Typist	\$21,743	ERI	Clerk - Typist	132	2,356	2220	All Organizations	\$20,087	\$23,038	\$26,275	\$23,705
Staff Assistant	Clerk Typist	\$21,743	FLOC/FPPA	Clerk Typist Clerk Typist	n/a 	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$21,414	<b>\$2</b> 2,873	\$25,206	\$23,323
	the same of the sa	\$21,743 	* // **			n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		Service Service	- 	\$22,124
	Clerk-Typist Average	\$21,743			2. で表って	<b>*</b>	10年 海州			1.00		
APPENDING COLUMN SERVICE AND COLUMN CO.	·	1 ************************************	P# 6~ 한 14명 : 4명 2 - 전 17명 14명 2 	<ul> <li>Programme and Street Control of the Street Street Street Control of the Street Street Street Control of the Street Str</li></ul>	4-5-32 "140, 8-07.7			<u> </u>	\$20,751	\$22,956	\$25,741	\$23,051
Senior Technician	Communications Electronics Bench Technician	\$31,963	ECS-Tech	Electronics Technician	157	4 775	2000				1	
SCIER I HIZIMIZALI		331,903	EC3-1 ecn	Electronics Technician		1,775	3990	All Orgs - Level 2	\$32,654	\$36,557	\$40,841	\$36,842
Senior Technician	Communications Electronics Bench Technician	\$31,963	ERI	Etastronia Caulomost Bookiss	-/-			AN Indicates C	000 57.		Ş	the state of the s
Sellor recirrosis	Communications Electronics Bench	\$31,803	F.K.I.	Electronic Equipment Repairer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$29,574	\$32,134	\$36,232	\$32,687
Senior Technician	Technician	\$31,963	FLOC/FPPA	Electronics Technicism	16	-/-	_,_	AN O > 4000 F75	1	***		
Ma Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-Al-A	Communications Electronics	#31,503    100000000000000000000000000000000000		Electronics Technician	an diamental make	l n/a	n/a	Ali Orgs: >1000 FTEs	463. 6.4 5.25	(1-24 ·	Barrell Commence	<b>,\$38,318</b>
	Bench Technician Average	\$31,963	The Mark of Selection of		100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		d		Delta Contract			
Program Manager	Community Assistance	\$47,819	FLOC/FPPA	Community Project Manager	18			IAH Orani > 1000 ETE:	ु \$31,114 े	\$34,346	\$38;536 <b>%</b>	. \$35,949
1 togram wanages	Constitutity Assistance	947,019	TLOGIFFFA	Continuity Project Manager	10	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs			4	\$55,846
Program Manager	Community Aprintance	<b>\$</b> 47,819	FLOC/FPPA	D M Si-1 Si	9						Ž	9 9 2 2 4
erogram manager excellers a like and letter to	Community Assistance	<b>347.019</b> 	PEOCIFPPA	Program Manager, Social Services		n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	2 182 28 21 1		e The second second second	\$50,514
26,45%	Community Assistance Average	3, 3 <b>47</b> ,819.			的。在第二个		* * *	TO STATE OF THE STATE OF	<b>《大学》的</b>		<b>ALC:</b>	
SUPPLIED TO SECURITY OF THE SE	E. Continuinty Assistance Average 450		er view increases a california	Charles Children Control March 1975 - And Control Control	AND THE PARTY OF T	ONE properties and the state of	andropath C.	・中学を4、ロー 第八字 2第7名第7名第7名 14日日本	n/a seed	n/a	_r ⊹n/a a **	\$53,180
Specialist	Community Assistance Specialist II	\$31,320	1	No Match				İ	ļ _,_		R	Market St.
The State of the State of the	Community Assistance Specialist II		aring the solution of the same			itere <b>nt</b> e	   <b>                                  </b>	TALLES FOR THE SERVICE OF THE SECOND	n/a ្រោះសម្រេសស្រ	n/a	- n/a -57≃64-38740 + ∞ 5	n/a
	Average	\$31,320		为" <b>在</b> 是是是一个"	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				n/a	是一个人们的		at the state of th
Program Manager	Community Resources	\$51,127	FLOC/FPPA	Community Project Manager	18	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	95 <b>21/2</b> -23-25-2	n/a 🦠	in/a 🐛	n/a ?
			TEOORTIA	Community 1 Tojact Manager	<del>                                     </del>	1#4	104	All Olgs. > 1000 FTES	<del></del>		·	\$55,846
Program Manager	Community Resources	\$51,127	Plant City	Community Development Director	8	8	10	All Organizations	\$47,179	\$57,309	FE7 479	CE4.030
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		de avije i	l problema i		[All Organizations	\$47,179	- <b>3</b> 57,309	\$57,473	\$54,832
and the second of the	Community Resources Average	\$51,127			2. 7. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				\$47,179	\$57,309	PET 470	*** ***
	Computer Systems Administrator (No	707,121	1		1			I	341,113	\$57,309	\$57,473	\$55,339
Senior Technician	(D)	\$43,451	ECS-Prof	Systems Analyst	409	3,880	1940	All Orgs - Level 2	\$43,340	\$47,977	\$54,128	\$48,923
	Computer Systems Administrator (No							7.0.0.95 201012	<u> </u>	#71,511	#5-4, IZO	Section of the second
Senior Technician	JD)	\$43,451	ERI	Computer Systems Analyst	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 4 years	\$46,185	\$50,184	\$56,584	\$50,919
WARE ON BUILD	Computer Systems Administrator			<b>基础的 表现于,并在1000年上,在1600年的</b> 表示。	The Contract		AVE THE	ใหม่อย (ค.ก.ศ.) ๆ ค.ศ. (ค.ศ.) เพลาะ	a			
<b>基础的一个分分的</b>	Average	\$43,451	(是)		cast in 9			·····································	\$44,762	\$49,081	\$55,356	\$49,921
									<u> </u>			
Coordinator	Contracts Administrator/Engineering	vacant	ECS-Prof	Contract Administrator	222	790	2030	All Orgs - Level 2	\$39,460	\$43,813	\$48,923	\$44,381
												200 miles
Coordinator	Contracts Administrator/Engineering	vacant	FLOC/FPPA	Contracts Specialist	23	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$43,550
	1					10. 4				. i water		
Coordinator	Contracts Administrator/Engineering	vacant	SJRWMD	Senior Contracts Administrator	9	41	55	All Organizations	\$42,609	\$45,466	\$48,435	\$48,066
	Contracts	165200		门部"新安治权名之政"的一个网络《	triffy s		5.		rational entitle	But But y		and the second
· 表表。	Administrator/Engineering Average	vacant	-	CONTRACTOR AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND		สสาสสิทธิ์	J. Seets		\$41,035	\$44,640	\$48,679	\$45,332
Technician	Copy and Mail Center	\$28,580	ECS-Office	Photocopy Machine Operator	167	1,220	2080	All Orgs - Level 2	\$23,800	\$27,513	\$31,797	\$27,989
Technician	Copy and Mail Center	\$28,580	ERI	Mailroom Supervisor	n/a	n/a	r/a	All Industries - 2 years	\$22,039	\$23,540	\$25,941	\$23,997
Technician	Copy and Mail Center	\$28,580	ERI	Printing Supervisor	n/a	r/a	n/a	All Industries - 2 years	\$29,550	\$32,109	\$36,203	\$32,661
(California)		Problem		na managan ang kalangan ang kala Kalangan ang kalangan ang kalang			\$4.00 to		325			
	Copy and Mail Center Average	\$28,580	Jakor Brandel	Nika Talkara yaku misusi su alphasiy	erioù, S-	30 - 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<u> </u>		\$25,130	\$27,720	\$31,314	\$28,216
					i					A STATE		
Program Manager	Deputy Building Official	\$59,228	Plant City	Building Superintendent (Official)	14	14	4	All Organizations	\$47,827	\$53,906	\$61,972	\$56,049
		ar teathers	17 1	化氯磺胺二氯甲基 电电流流流电池			÷ .		100	- 13 (14 tag)	and the second	취리 개의 사
	Deputy Building Official Average	\$59,228										

S	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA				URVEY DATA	<b>.</b> .			(adjusted geo)	Aged Marke	t Salary Data	
								1		1.00		
		Average	SURVEY				SURV		25th	50th	75th	
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Salary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	#INCS_	CODE	SCOPE	%ile_	%ile	%ile	Avg
Engineer	Development Review	\$41,280	ECS-Prof	Civil Engineer	208	1,553	3850	All Orgs - Level 2	\$45,043	\$49,964	\$54,128	\$49,869
Engineer	Development Review	\$41,280	ERI	Civil Engineer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$40,793	\$44,583	\$50,647	\$45,263
		et vijeriji in ili					100		\$42,918	\$47,274	\$52,387	\$47,566
	Development Review Average	\$41,280	ICI COIEDDA	Total Francisco	26	n/a	n in	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	342,510.	: -#41,2/4	J32,367	\$41,322
Plans Examiner	Development Review	\$36,733	FLOC/FPPA	Plans Examiner	20	riva	n/a	All Olys. > 1000 FTES			ea ·	41,022
	Development Review Average	\$36,733			14 14 14				n/a	n/a	n/a	\$41,322
Principal Coordinator	Development Review	vacant	Ft Pierce	Planning Coordinator	12	24	26	All Organizations	\$53,094	\$59,150	\$63,567	\$59,008
rnncipal Cooldinator	Development Neview	Vacani	111116166	i laming decoration	ta a Talai	. 7						
	Development Review Average	· vacant	The same	I want out the first the said was		er og går fly	200	计设置 医肾色性 医抗原性	\$53,094	\$59,150	\$63,567	\$59,008
Principal Planner	Development Review	\$49,005	SJRWMD	Land Resource Planner II	7	28	49	All Organizations	\$35,373	\$47,523	\$47,855	\$42,899
	odo estadoren a seco	30,000,467.0	Mary Com	益的可导動數型主持對微數			ر در همار از اینا در افزاد اینان اینان				Branch Branch	
and superplaced and	Development Review Average	\$49,005	Company of	A STATE OF THE STA					\$35,373	\$47,523	\$47,855	\$42,899
Senior Engineer	Development Review (Code)	\$53,061	ECS-Prof	Civil Engineer	216	1,611	3850	Alt Orgs - Level 3	\$54,317	<b>\$</b> 59,332	\$64,253	\$59,522
Senior Engineer	Development Review (Code)	\$53,061	ERI	Civil Engineer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$43,565	\$47,613	\$54,088	\$48,323
	Development Review (Code)		品层全族 东		nn ky lin	7 × *	estate Sec.			18 <u>1111</u>		h in the second
	Average	\$53,061	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	<u> 1900 - Park Barangan</u>				1000	\$48,941	\$53,473	\$59,171	\$53,922
Senior Planner	Economic Development	\$40,331	FLOC/FPPA	Planner	32	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		***	****	\$42,482
Senior Planner	Economic Development	\$40,331	Ft Pierce	Planner	17	59	25	All Organizations	\$36,400	\$39,325	\$43,845	\$40,796
Senior Planner	Economic Development	\$40,331	Plant City	Planner	14	15	33	All Organizations	\$33,424	\$35,554	\$40,168	\$37,876
and the state of the second second				动的 \$19. 可以通過節節 强力。	e servició de la ele	a di Sala di Sa	nn 8 0		204.040	<b>607 400</b>	£40.00¢	e 40 20E
	Economic Development Average		English Barrer	er i jarotti kreste, jarottikerist	in Magnetic lead		ोर्ग हुए हैं के बेर्च के 1		\$34,912	\$37,439	\$42,006	\$40,385
Coordinator	Emergency Management	\$44,741	euspiiriska kaitii ka (1778) (18	No Match	Territoria de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composic	ু তেওঁ প্ৰসেপ্তৰ বিভ	 Practicalism	The second of th	n/a	√ - n/a }	. n∕a	n/a ′
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Badani (1886)				200	THE WAR AND COME	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Emergency Management Average	\$44,741	ECC Dues	235.0 0442.00 30.000.	187	1,025	3850	All Orgs - Level 4	\$64.632	\$69.174	\$74.851	\$69.741
Principal Engineer	Engineering	\$71,018 \$71,018	ECS-Prof ERI	Civil Engineer Civil Engineer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 8 years	\$44,899	\$49,070	\$55,745	\$49,797
Principal Engineer	Engineering	\$71,019	Ft Pierce	City Engineer	14	13	6	All Organizations	\$70,468	\$74,971	\$91,511	\$81,599
Principal Engineer Principal Engineer	Engineering Engineering	\$71,019	Plant City	City Engineer	10	11	7	All Organizations	\$57,184	\$65,953	\$74,662	\$65,698
rtinkspartinghaan Name (Name of Salata) and Salata (Name of Salata)	action is the body of the second section in the second sec			CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR	165 P. W.		igrare s			Million de la		
The Marie Company of the	Engineering Average	\$71,019		S. M. Prays. Windows					\$59,296	\$64,792	\$74,192	\$66,709
Lead Inspector	Environmental Services	\$38,444	FLOC/FPPA	Environmental Specialist	25	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		[数] 公女子		\$39,847
Lead Inspector	Environmental Services	\$38,444	Ft Pierce	Engineering Inspector	14	61	14	All Organizations	\$37,217	\$42,566	\$48,535	\$42,540
Lead Inspector	Environmental Services	\$38,444	Plant City	Engineering Tech IV	5	5	12	All Organizations	\$28,067	\$28,469	\$34,523	\$32,237
Contract White	A COLOR OF THE COL	Carl Street	e de marche (G.)		· 数据数据数	装造 熟点	第15年	Mary State Committee of the Committee of	1. 60 60		Mary Comment	a neus Cons
	Environmental Services Average	\$38,444		The second of th		The same and	the state of	In the Market Street	\$32,642	\$35,517	\$41,529	\$38,208
Associate Technician	Facilities Maintenance	\$24,248	ERI	Building Maintenance Repairer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$25,730	\$27,482	\$30,286	\$27,979
Associate Technician	Facilities Maintenance	\$24,248	FLOC/FPPA	Building Maintenance Worker	33	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		と関われた	1 2	\$26,551
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		270347万多			e Bulletina		14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			a maka . I	t en graffel (il) Transport	
	Facilities Maintenance Average	\$24,248	"我,一个说话,我不是						\$25,730	\$27,482	\$30,286	
· <b></b>				•					1			
Certified Tradesworker	Facilities Maintenance	\$35,066	ECS-Tech	General Maintenance Repair Worker	303	2,566	5960	All Orgs - Level 3	\$33,034	\$37,414	\$43,126	<b>\$</b> 38,366
Certified Tradesworker	Facilities Maintenance	\$35,066	ERI	General Maintenance Supervisor	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$39,773	<b>≨</b> \$43,468	\$49,380	\$44,137
: [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2		28 X .							1111111111	> March 19		
Children Children	Facilities Maintenance Average	\$35,066	Sant Walter		4.5	4.040	000=	THE COLUMN TO SERVICE	\$36,404	\$40,441	\$46,253	\$41,251
Senior Coordinator	Facilities Maintenance	\$49,224	ECS-Supv	Building/Facilities Supervisor	413	1,040	2387	All Orgs - Level 2	\$42,427	\$48,691	\$55,430	\$50,305
								404 ( ) 7			***	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Senior Coordinator	Facilities Maintenance	\$49,224	ERI	Building Maintenance Supervisor	п/а	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$50,492	<b>\$54,866</b>	\$61,861	\$55,647
							ļ .	4000				
Senior Coordinator	Facilities Maintenance	\$49,224	FLOC/FPPA	Building Maintenance Superintendent	16	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				<b>\$</b> 52,913
					1					€¥e.s÷		
Senior Coordinator	Facilities Maintenance	\$49,224	FLOC/FPPA	Facilities Maintenance Supervisor	25	r√a	n/a	Alt Orgs: >1000 FTEs	1	P. M. S. J. 1999		\$48,369

0.5	MINOLE COUNTY DATA			•	SURVEY DATA				مدده ودوين البوا		cet Salary Data	
		Average	SURVEY				SURV		25th	50th	75th	
OB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Salary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS 26	# INCS	CODE		%ile	***%ile	%ile %	\$98.96
Pirector	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	ECS-Top	Top Budgeting Executive	26	~1173	0325	All Orgs: 500-2000 FTEs Public Administration 1360	\$70,946	\$103,966	\$119,061	- , \$96,90
·	Firm One in a	\$88,504	ERI	Budget Director	n/a	n/a	n/a	FTEs	\$70,288	\$80,560	\$96,996	\$81.62
Director	Fiscal Services	300,504	ERI	Budget Director	11/0	ING	!!!!	Public Administration 1360	\$10,200	- (4) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	. 490,550	- 001,02
Director	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	ERI	Financial Analysis Director	n/a	n/a	n/a	FTEs	\$74,559	\$84,938	\$101.545	\$86.04
Director	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	FLOC/FPPA	Finance Director	25	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	<u>*</u>	The sales		\$77,83
71100101	I local convices	400,000									( )	En Plantage A
Director	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	Ft Pierce	Director of Finance	17	17	10	Al! Organizations	\$84,386	\$91,296	\$98,235	\$93,44
		†						<u> </u>				**************************************
Director	Fiscal Services	\$88,504	Plant City	Finance Director	14	14	17	All Organizations	\$63,954	\$80,455	\$84,250	\$74,71
机焊接机 化二甲烷烷 化二甲磺胺二甲酯		1277 Barket 111	No. of the second	원인물 맛있다. 아무네 얼마다 다	plane proper		b dise	i Siği om algeba	<b>K</b>		केंग्राह्म में ब्याहर	
	Fiscal Services Average	\$88,504		<u>jeki di kali da alika Basari da k</u>		5000			\$72,827	\$88,243	\$100,017	\$85,43
		1										14 A M
Aanager	Fiscal Services	\$57,242	ECS-MM	Budgetary Control Manager	59	66	0330	All Orgs: 500-2000 FTEs	\$64,442	\$75,230	\$88,194	\$77,97
						1						
Manager	Fiscal Services	\$57,242	ERI	Budget Manager	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 8 years	\$67,844	\$73,719	\$83,119	\$74,69
			, av		4.44						1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
Baga galaga a kwasasii	Fiscal Services Average	\$57,242	the Walter	. The stage of the self-state and a self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	110 111	<u> 14. julijasis u</u>	as elle	<u> </u>	\$66,143	\$74,475	\$85,657	\$76,33
Coordinator	Fixed Assets	\$38,501	FLOC/FPPA	Records Coordinator	20	l n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	144.27.00.00.00.00		ija Prijas ir salas prijas prij	\$46,82
的關係的過程可能	Fixed Assets Average	EL THINKS - Se IN					Bearing.	20年1日本部時期20年1	rva	n/a	n/a	\$46.82
Marian, rock (Salah Mala	Fixed Assets Average	\$38,501		professional areas in the professional contraction	and the second s	k (conesii Gerica) L	系 <u>系统包.硬.</u> 的	ROME TO METHING STORY CO.	eco , rva / re	rva	2014	340,62
Assistant Coordinator	Fleet Services	\$40,019 (prior Inc)	ERI	Fleet Supervisor	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 6 years	\$42,089	\$46,000	\$52,257	\$46,69
tosisiani cooxumbioi	T loct oct vides	\$40.019 (prior	+	Operations Supervisor - Fleet			7		,,	e de mari	44	
Assistant Coordinator	Fleet Services	Inc)	SJRWMD	Operations and Maintenance	14	23	24	All Organizations	\$43,488	\$53,912	\$63,131	\$53,72
NO GOLDSTANTO DESCRIPTI	生活的 建物学生管理的证据	e a region of hotel	THE WORLD	2010年1日 日本 <b>24</b> 2日 <b>的第三型网络</b> 日本日本語源。	<b>产作。这一个大</b>		3 4	riboot eralataribadah	#			
	Fleet Services Average	\$40,019	ALC: 1			and the first			\$42,789	\$49,956	\$57,694	\$50,21
	1	1	1	Information Systems Operations						1. 经增生	G	13.00
rogram Manager	Geographic Information Services	\$64,584	ECS-MM	Manager	74	83	1730	All Orgs: 500-2000 FTEs	\$68,417	\$78,069	\$86,112	\$79,01
				Information Systems Operations							<u> </u>	1
rogram Manager	Geographic Information Services	\$64,584	ERI	Manager	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 8 years	\$66,261	\$72,417	<b>\$</b> \$82,267	\$73,37
	Geographic Information Services			The books when			*** V-		1			670.40
Caracon Control of the State of	Average		rene al Sign	100	n/a	n/a	anagara s	IAll Industries - 1 year	\$67,339 x	\$75,243 \$29,932	\$84,190 \$34,258	\$76,19 \$30.46
Senior Team Member	Heavy Equipment Operator	\$25,125 \$25,125	ERI FLOC/FPPA	Heavy Equipment Operator Heavy Equipment Operator	29	n/a	n/a n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	\$27,229	\$25,532	\$34,200	\$30,46 \$31,24
Senior Team Member Senior Team Member	Heavy Equipment Operator Heavy Equipment Operator	\$25,125	Ft Pierce	Equipment Operator II (Heavy)	17	221	15	All Organizations	\$27,161	\$30,503	\$33,044	\$30,34
Senior Team Member	Heavy Equipment Operator	\$25,125	Plant City	Equipment Operator III	10	38	15	All Organizations	\$23,652	\$24,898	\$26,478	\$24,76
POTILOT 1 CANTI METHOET	Heavy Equipment Operator	<b>↓ →</b> 23,123	i talk Oily	and the second of the second o	ologija i i i i det	10 - J. 1000	koji i	1	13.		, <b>420, 110</b>	V,
	Average	\$25,125	100		6.17 (B) (B) (B) (B) (B)				\$26,014	\$28,444	\$31.260	\$29,20
		7,		Information Systems Help Desk	1		[					1
echnician	Help Desk	\$21,008	ECS-Tech	Coordinator	245	1,229	1725	All Orgs - Level 1	\$28,179	<b>\$</b> 31,416	\$34,558	\$31,70
echnician	Help Desk	\$21,008	ERI	Help Desk Technician	n/a	n/a	n/a	Alt Industries - 2 years	\$27,356	\$29,898	\$33,964	\$29,89
		1		Help Desk/End User Support	T				1		:	·
echnician	Help Desk	\$21,008	FLOC/FPPA	Technician	24	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	I			\$34,83
	and the first and the second of the second	Service of the	12 - 15	ي آخا الحال و في معهم الأخروج الله المناسبة الأحمل دورا الما الما الما الما الما	the April 1980 of the State of		41 / L. 201 A.	The section of the second of the second profession of	Transport details	ひょくしょく はいしょう	والمراسي بإخران المرازات	1.77

	EMINOLE COUNTY ĐẠTA				URVEY DATA						et Salary Data	et in Sanford, FL)
3	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA		<del></del>	1	CICIET DATA	1			(aujustou geop			ing in the
		Average	SURVEY	_		ļ	SURV		≠ 25th	> 50th	75th	
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	\$alary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	#INCS	CODE	SCOPE	⊸. %ile	· Alle	. ( %ila	AVg 🗟 🔅
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	ECS-Prof	Employee Training Specialist	387	1,519	1150	All Orgs - Level 2	\$36,621	\$40,680	\$46,274	\$41,637
										N. Carlot		
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	ECS-Prof	Employee Relations Representative	91	203	1198	All Orgs - Level 2	\$38,798	<b>\$44</b> ,381	§ <b>\$48,829</b>	\$44,097
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	ERI	Training Specialist	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$35,005	\$38,036	\$42,886	\$38,648
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	ERI	Human Resources Analyst	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$33,678	\$36,594	\$41,260	_ \$37,191
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	FLOC/FPPA	HR Analyst	28	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs			<u>a</u>	\$42,488
Senior Analyst	Human Resources	\$42,432	Ft Pierce	Human Resources Coordinator	14	22	20	All Organizations	\$37,948	\$44,417	\$59,759	\$48,955.
			[8] 计模型模型	李孝教(李) 计位置文字			86 5 A					
<u> </u>	Human Resources Average	\$42,432	tall Million and the state of	2000 · 可加入了确定与企业的 1000 · 1000	t balling.	. V. Sand P. 1504.	10.41	AND SECURITION OF THE SECOND	<b>\$36,410</b>	<b>\$40</b> ,862	\$47,801	\$42,169
							,	A 11 - 4 - 4 - 4 7	#04.00 <b>7</b>			ecz 660 -
Program Manager	Human Resources (Comp Manager)	\$44,803	ERI	Compensation Manager	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$61,387	\$67,090 1	\$76,215	\$67,998
<b>5</b>	III December (Como Monorco)	\$44,803	JCA	Human Resources Manager	16	20	535	All Companies	\$49,099	. <b>₹\$</b> 60.952	\$66,762	\$58,492
Program Manager	Human Resources (Comp Manager)	344,003	JCA	Hullan Resources Manager		20	333	rai Companies	<b>\$49,033</b>	1.7.4	Ψου, του	<b>\$</b> 550,732
Program Manager	Human Resources (Comp Manager)	\$44,803	Mercer	Senior Compensation Analyst	199	334	1642	All Orgs - National	\$50,168	\$58,119	\$66,355	\$58,498 · · ·
r logialii Mallagei	Promain Nesources (Comp Manager)	فيرفح واجها فيبروها	1		ો વસ્તુ છે. જેવા છે.	- 103 14 45			1704 A. 63		5	. TOTAL STREET
	Human Resources (Comp.		100		9 Mary 18 18	45 3 34		(1) 19 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 ·	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Americania -	<b>建筑设施工设施</b>	
a market	Manager) Average	\$44,803		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	<b>动态外面型</b>	and the second	A. 1887.	to the state of the state of	\$53,552	\$62,054	\$69,777	\$61,663
		1		Information Systems Operations	1					Later Co	<u> </u>	WAY A
Manager	Information Services	\$65,395	ECS-MM	Manager	74	83	1730	All Orgs: 500-2000 FTEs	\$68,417	\$78,0691	\$86,112	\$79,015
				Information Systems Operations		1	i			\$P\$ 200 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	¥.	
Manager	Information Services	\$65,395	ERI	Manager	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 8 years	\$66,261	\$72,417	\$82,267	<b>\$73,379</b>
		A CONTRACTOR	第5.00位的		STATE OF		Lagran Lagran		23.0°		5.美 3.大	A SALES
The state of the s	Information Services Average	<b>\$65,39</b> 5 ≥:	20人名英国第一年	19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.	24 A 18 16	A Parket	*); 32d		\$67,339		\$84,190	\$76,197 .
Principal Coordinator	Information Services	\$48,892	SJRWMD	Computer Systems Specialist	12	102	12	All Organizations	\$50,778	\$54.886	\$57,462	<b>\$</b> 54,342
	The street of the state of the	2. 经产品 5			5.000mm		<b>"在</b> 是是是	1	L. Jeffy St.			\$54.342
(1) 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, Information Services Average s €	\$48,892	<b>。                                    </b>		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	2477.3472.25.25.25.	S. C.C. Williams		\$50,778	\$54,886	\$57,462	
Senior Coordinator	Information Services	\$36,084	3.44	No Match	  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -	ar Service	l Contractor	Control of the second s	n/a   1	n/a	n/a	.∻ n/a
		<b>#36.084</b>							n/a √	n/a	n/a	n/a
3 45 45 4 4 4 4	Information Services Average	\$36,084	1	The state of the s		T		Public Administration 1360	10 <b>a</b> 50	- sud	iva	IVA
<b>0</b> 11	l-fa-atta-Tashaslasias	#00.040	EDI	Information Tachaglacies Director	n/a	n/a	n/a	FTEs	\$66.436	\$76,146	\$91,680	* \$77.163°
Director	Information Technologies	\$89,648	ERI FLOC/FPPA	Information Technologies Director Information Technology Director	34	n/a	n/a	All Oras: >1000 FTEs	\$00,430	3, 30	\$91,000	\$90.015
Director	Information Technologies	\$89,648	FLUCIFFFA		<del>J=</del> 	iva Significant	ie.	All Orgs. 21000 FTES	164935KM23		Bushing the	\$50,013
	Information Technologies Average	\$89,648							\$66,436	<b>\$76,146</b>	\$91,680	\$83,589
Librarian	Librarian	\$33,290	ERI	Librarian	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$32,883	\$35,730	\$40,287	\$36,319
Librarian	Librarian	\$33,290	FLOC/FPPA	Librarian	18	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	#32,000	• ••••	<u> </u>	\$37,008
Litratian	Liolaten	455,250	TEOORITA	Librarian		100	100	THE OTGO. 1 TOOOT 125			.:	
Librarian	Librarian	\$33,290	Plant City	Librarian I	8	17	27	All Organizations	\$25,363	\$31,609	\$37,150	\$31,158
Citifaliai	(Librarian	d:	The training of the second		Jan 11 Jan 18	30. 8	enoet, i	TOP STORE WELL STORES			or Walking ma	
The second secon	Librarian Average	\$33,290	1	A. S. C. S.	A PORCE	and the second			\$29.123	<b>\$33.670</b>	\$38,719	\$34,828
					T	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 1 year	\$20,536	* \$21,811°	\$23,851	\$22,248.
Staff Assistant			FRI	Library Assistant	ı nva							
Staff Assistant Staff Assistant	Library Services	\$20,786	ERI FLOC/EPPA	Library Assistant	n/a 18		n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		1.34.5 3.5		\$21.586
Staff Assistant	Library Services Library Services	\$20,786 \$20,786	FLOC/FPPA	Library Assistant	18 8	n/a	n/a 28	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs All Organizations	\$17.638	\$19.845	\$22,163	\$21,586 \$20,920
	Library Services	\$20,786	FLOC/FPPA Plant City		18	n/a 15	n/a 28	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs All Organizations	\$17,638	\$19,845	\$22,163	\$21,586 \$20,920
Staff Assistant	Library Services Library Services Library Services	\$20,786 \$20,786	FLOC/FPPA	Library Assistant	18	n/a		<del> </del>	\$17,638 \$19,087 *	\$19,845 \$20,828	\$22,163 \$23,007	
Staff Assistant	Library Services Library Services	\$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786	FLOC/FPPA Plant City	Library Assistant Library Assistant I	18	n/a 15		All Organizations	1.50003	<b>种拉克</b> 克。	XIVE S	<b>\$</b> 20,920
Staff Assistant Staff Assistant	Library Services Library Services Library Services Library Services	\$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786	FLOC/FPPA Plant City	Library Assistant Library Assistant I	18 8	n/a 15	28	All Organizations	\$19,087	\$20,828	\$23,007	\$20,920 \$21,584
Staff Assistant Staff Assistant Mechanic	Library Services Library Services Library Services Library Services Mechanic	\$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786	FLOC/FPPA Plant City	Library Assistant Library Assistant I  Maintenance Mechanic	18 8	n/a 15	28	All Organizations All Orgs - All Levels	\$19,087	\$20,828	\$23,007	\$20,920 \$21,584
Staff Assistant Staff Assistant	Library Services Library Services Library Services Library Services	\$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$28,120	FLOC/FPPA Plant City ECS-Tech	Library Assistant Library Assistant I	18 8 1,202	n/a 15 12,850	28 5940	All Organizations	\$19,087 * \$28,846	\$20,828 \$33,701	\$23,007 \$38,842	\$20,920 \$21,584 \$34,082
Staff Assistant Staff Assistant Mechanic	Library Services Library Services Library Services  Library Services  Mechanic	\$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$20,786 \$28,120	FLOC/FPPA Plant City  ECS-Tech  ERI	Library Assistant Library Assistant I  Maintenance Mechanic  Mechanic Machinery Maintenance	18 8 1,202	n/a 15 12,850	28 5940 n/a	All Organizations  All Orgs - All Levels  All Industries - 2 years	\$19,087 * \$28,846 \$24,760	\$20,828 \$33,701 \$26,904	\$23,007 \$38,842 \$30,335	\$20,920 \$21,584 \$34,082 \$27,404

											t Salary Data	
	SEMINOLE COUNTY DATA	·			SURVEY DATA	\			(adjusted geog	raphically to refle	ct the labor mark	ket in Sanford, FL)
		l <u>.</u>							- 4		/	
		Average	SURVEY	OUDIEW MATOU	# ORGS	#INCS	SURV	SCOPE	25th	50th	75th %ile	Avg
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Salary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH		•		All Industries - 1 year	\$22,773	\$24.324	\$26,804	* \$24.789
Team Member	Meter Reader	\$18,209	ERI	Meter Reader	n/a 25	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	322,113	9245524	\$20,0U <del>4</del>	\$23,573
Team Member	Meter Reader	\$18,209 \$18,209	FLOC/FPPA Plant City	Meter Reader Meter Reader	13	32	31	All Organizations	\$19,160	\$19,843	\$24,010	\$21,076
Team Member	Meter Reader	\$16,209	Flain City	Meter Reader			31/201	All Organizations	1720	4 7 7 7	427.010	Sail Species Son
	Meter Reader Average	\$18,209	N. A. S.			in the second of			\$20,966	\$22.083.	\$25,407	\$23,146
P.A.Y. Officer	P.A.Y. Officer	\$29,520	Contraction of	No Match	1	1		1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a "
F.A.1. Officer	F.A.T. Gillor	6 P		Tro macor	et e e e e e e	1	l display	e <sup>t</sup> er i de la companya i yan		***		원 등 생긴 기상
	P.A.Y. Officer Average	\$29,520					- 19		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Manager	Parks and Recreation	\$61,818	FLOC/FPPA	Recreation Superintendent	24	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		A Secret		\$59,265
Manager	Parks and Recreation	\$61,818	FLOC/FPPA	Parks Superintendent	29	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs			(··	\$58,340
Manager	Parks and Recreation	\$61,818	Plant City	Recreation/Parks Director	14	14	43	All Organizations	\$55,721	\$70,831	\$80,817	\$67,815
	Taylor Section 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1								Sur Sare E		عدين الرابية الا	
January and Sandanian Santah District	Parks and Recreation Average	\$61,818	a the contract of the contract	to the state of the state of the state of	Markey est	214377	y ten	उक्रमी निर्देशीत कर्नु की राज्यानु है क्षेत्री हो ह	\$55,721	\$70,831	\$80,817	\$61,807
Team Leader	Parks and Recreation	\$40,487	FLOC/FPPA	Recreation Supervisor	20	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs			Service of the control of the contro	\$39,476
	w service with the service of the se		ation of the sta	<b>《安全》</b> 《安全》(1985年)		原本 经推	\$15%的 · 1	2000年1月20日本	1 (0.50g) 3 (0.50g)	(h.prda)	\$ 1. Carlot	) <b></b>
The state of the s	Parks and Recreation Average		<b>建筑等等</b>	表现的数据,1960年1960年1960年1960年1960年1960年1960年1960年	a september (1977)		Carlotte &	The same of the sa	, n/a	· Na	n/a - ≂	\$39,476
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	ECS-Tech	Groundskeeper	261	3,178	5820	All Orgs - All Levels	\$22,943	\$24,086	\$29,322	\$25,133
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	ERI	Groundskeeper	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$21,137	\$22,705	\$25,214	\$23,160
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	FLOC/FPPA	Groundskeeper	25	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$20,895
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	Ft Pierce	Ground Maintenance Specialist	15	420	18	All Organizations	\$22,036	\$23,434	\$26,639	\$25,060
Team Member	Parks Maintenance Worker	\$20,887	Plant City	Groundskeeper 1	14	62	25	All Organizations	\$18,700	<b>\$19</b> ,983	\$21,813	\$20,533
그렇게 다 가 가스 회사를	Parks Maintenance Worker			그 기원에 이 영화를 하면 생활하다고 했다.		100			*04.004	\$22.552	\$25.747	\$22,956
<u>ng Salatan sa manggapan di</u>	Average	\$20,887	IFOC C-6	LOCAL COLORS	216	1.611	3850	All Orgs - Level 3	\$21,204 \$54,317	\$59,332	\$64,253	\$59,522
Senior Engineer	PEI (Projects Manager)	\$55,089	ECS-Prof ERI	Civil Engineer	n/a	n/a		All Industries - 7 years	\$43,565	\$47,613	\$54,088	\$48,323
Senior Engineer	PEI (Projects Manager)	\$55,089 \$55,089	SJRWMD	Civil Engineer Senior Project Manager	12	71	n/a 58	All Organizations	\$66,106	\$69,897	\$81,389	\$73,666
Senior Engineer	PEI (Projects Manager)	300,000	Parkanin	Serior Project Manager	olympik, og	A STANCTON	30	Companizations	# \$500 TOO	**************************************	401,000	
	PEI (Projects Manager) Average	\$55,089					1905	的表示: (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$54,663	\$58,947	\$66,577	\$60,503
Associate Technician	Permit Tech	\$24,651	IFLOC/FPPA	Permit Technician	29	n/a	n/a	All Orgs; >1000 FTEs	6,404,000	31.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.		\$26,146
Associate Technician	Permit Tech	\$24,651	Ft Pierce	Licensing & Permit Clerk	18	64	21	All Organizations	\$23,105	\$26.374	\$27.948	\$25,759
Associate Technician	Permit Tech	\$24,651	SJRWMD	Permit Data Technician	12	91	6	All Organizations	\$22,952	\$25,100	\$26,538	\$25,483
, pasolate recommon		72.102.	100.111.110		, t				. : 1777 Till 1		per Agricultur	
	Permit Tech Average	\$24,651		The state of the s	1.5		100		\$23,029	\$25,737	\$27,243	\$25,796
Manager	Planning	\$80,205	ERI	Planning Manager Long Range	l n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 10 years	\$80,476	\$88,467	\$101,252	\$80,339
Manager	Planning	\$80,206	FLOC/FPPA	Planning Director	28	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$87,113
i i ∫ Giù figue di		Light of a									BOOK OF	3 The 10
west with the second section of	Planning Average	. · \$80,206	12 Mars 1 1	and the complete of the second of the second of the	<u> </u>	1. <u>*19714</u> 8	Garage a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$80,476	\$88,467	\$101,252	\$83,726
Senior Planner	Planning	\$49,164	ERI	Planner	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$48,436	\$52,631	\$59,341	\$53,391
自己并分为第二个连接到	<b>为自己的</b> 自己的自己的自己的		97			事。亦作				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	Planning Average	\$49,164					1 4 2 4		\$48,436	\$52,631	\$59,341	\$53,391
			•					Public Administration 1360				· 直接性 [20]
Director	Planning and Development	\$92,248	ERI	Planning & Development Director	n/a	n/a	n/a	FTEs	\$81,722	<b>\$</b> 92,538	\$109,845	<b>\$</b> 93,717
Director	Planning and Development	\$92,248	FLOC/FPPA	Development Director	16	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	Janeary Carlo	100 (200 (200 (200 )) 200 (200 (200 (200 ))	Film of the second	<b>\$</b> 95,274
	Planning and Development	1.5								-		2
a gi sitti sayai	Average	\$92,248	ICD	D	T 2/2			IAD to distribute Comment	\$81,722	\$92;538~	\$109,845	\$94,495
Planner	Planning and Development	\$40,382	ERI	Planner	n/a 32	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$44,452	<b>\$</b> 48,301	\$54,460	\$49,016 \$42,482
Planner	Planning and Development	\$40,382	FLOC/FPPA	Planner	14	n/a 15	n/a 33	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs All Organizations	E22 424	\$35,554	\$40,168	\$42,482 \$37,876
Planner	Planning and Development	\$40,382	Plant City	Planner	14	1 15	33	An Organizations	\$33,424	<b>3</b> 30,004	¥40,108	937,570
	Planning and Development	\$40.282					4	F0.	\$38,938	\$41,928	\$47,314	\$43,125
	Average		and the second	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			1 22 2	<u> </u>				
Anniatant Cunc	Deshation	\$20 pag	COL	Secretarial Supervisor	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries 5 years	\$27 0E4	630 302	* <b>\$</b> 22,146	
Assistant Supervisor	Probation	\$30,836	ERI	Secretarial Supervisor	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$27,054	\$29,397	\$33,146	\$29,397

			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<del></del>	The second of the second	
SE	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA				SURVEY DATA		<u> </u>			kef Salary Data flect the labor market in Sanford, FL
		Average	SURVEY		#ORGS	# INCS	SURV	SCOPE	25th 50fh	75th Avg
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Salary	SOURCE	SURVEY MATCH	# UKGS	# 11403	CODE	ISCOPE	n/a /** n/a	🤣 n/a 🔗 N/a≪
Manager	Probation	\$63,898	Anna gra	No Match	Agency Spring	المناورة	Para sang	The contract of		
	Probation Average	\$63,898			The state of the s				n/a n/a	n/a
Probation Officer	Probation Officer	\$32,058	1	No Match		1		1	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
	Committee of the Committee of						Alia D		V 452	
	Probation Officer Average	\$32,058	F00.05	Louis Olate	715	2,070	6080	All Orgs - All Levels	n/a n/a \$25,418 \$28,655	n/a n/a \$33.130 \$29,417
Technician	Procurement Technician	\$29,370	ECS-Office ERI	Purchasing Clerk Procurement Clerk	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$25,274 \$27 149	
Technician	Procurement Technician	<b>\$</b> 29,370	TEINI Santana	Procurement clerk			1.5	STOREST AT NOTE A SOF	[1] · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 · 文 ·	
	Procurement Technician Average	\$29,370			Wat god Salar	<u> </u>			\$25,346 \$27,90 <u>2</u>	\$31,639, \$28,531
Principal Coordinator	Project Management	\$52,285	Plant City	Community Development Director	8	8	10	All Organizations	\$47,179 \$57,309	\$57,473 \$54,832
Principal Coordinator	Project Management	\$52,285	SJRWMD	Construction Program Manager	9 	25	35	All Organizations	\$54,173 \$61,745	\$71,176 \$62,153
	Project Management Average	\$52,285	- A	A Committee of the second	. Je Walanga	Bergar (A			\$50,676 \$59,527	\$64,325 \$58,492
Chief Inspector	Public Safety/Hazardous Materials	\$36,192	FLOC/FPPA	Environmental Specialist	25	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		\$39,847
Chief Inspector	Public Safety/Hazardous Materials	\$36,192	SJRWMD	Environmental Scientist I	14	61	40	All Organizations	\$32,124 \$34,824	\$37,918 \$35,727
	Public Safety/Hazardous Materials Average	\$36,192					SAVE WITH		\$32,124 \$34,824	\$37,918. \$37,787
Director	Public Works	\$102,274	FLOC/FPPA	Public Works Director	27	n/a	n/a	All Organizations	77.5	\$101,982
	Public Works Average	\$102,274			real of set		1 2 2 1 1 2 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		n/a n/a	n/a \$101,982
Senior Staff Assistant	Records Custodian/Imaging	\$26,354	ECS-Office	Micrographics (imaging) Operator	151	932	2247	All Organizations	\$19,706 \$21,230	\$23,990 \$21,896
Verika Gali Assisisin	Records Custodian/Imaging	\$26,354				i e s			\$19.706 \$21,230	\$23,990 \$21,896
Team Leader	Average Road Operations/Stormwater	\$35,256	Ft Pierce	Foreman I	14	173	17	All Organizations	\$29,677 \$36,846	\$37,995 \$34,865
	Road Operations/Stormwater								\$29,677 \$36,846	\$37,995 \$34,865
Crew Chief	Average Roads	\$26,513	FLOC/FPPA	Crew Leader	28	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	\$23,071 \$30,040	\$30,405
										****
	Roads Average	\$26,513	1500 T	Internation		2.202		All Oran Lovel 1	n/a // n/a \$25,323 \$31,511	n/a \$30,405 \$39,413 \$32,366
Dispatcher	Roads	\$26,957 \$26,957	ECS-Tech ERI	Dispatcher Dispatcher	449 n/a	2,202 n/a	6380 n/a	All Orgs - Level 1 All Industries - All Incs	\$25,323 \$37,311	\$30,057 \$27,561
Dispatcher	(Roads	\$20,937	LIN	Disparente	1 1112	1110			420,100	721,001
	Roads Average	\$26,957	1	ready of 1 of 1 of 1 of 1 of 1		1 %		<u> </u>	\$25,260 \$29,289	\$34,735 \$29,965
Lead Inspector	Roads	\$40,007	ERI	Construction Inspector	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$33,364 \$36,463	\$41,423 \$37,062
	Roads Average	\$40,007	with his	Maria Aliana	STANKE TH	ALC:	11 <u>64</u> .	Same of Carlot State of	\$33,364 4 \$36,463	\$41,423
Team Member	Roads/Stormwater	\$19,526	1	No Malch	1	T0: - 90ata	ļ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n/a n/a	n/a /n/a
	Roads/Stormwater Average	\$19,526			Train in				n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Technician	Roadway Signing and Markings	\$26,566	FLOC/FPPA	Traffic Signs/Markings Technician	19	n/a	n/a	All Orgs; >1000 FTEs		\$26,140
	Roadway Signing and Markings		真要此一个这	က်ရှာရှု ရှိခြင်းအားမှာများများသည်။ ၁၈	- Sec. 42.5	Marin I				
41 M 1 1 4	Average	\$26,566	1500.5	8 8 8	1 4 244	40.000	0000	TAILOrga Louist O	n/a n/a	n/a \$26,140 \$30,464 \$27,798
Senior Staff Assistant	Senior Accounting Clerk	\$25,182 \$25,182	ECS-Office ERI	Accounting Clerk	1,314 n/a	10,228 n/a	0320 - n/a	All Orgs - Level 2 All Industries - All Incs	\$24,657	\$30,464 \$27,685 \$25,596
Senior Staff Assistant	Senior Accounting Clerk	± <b>3</b> ∠0,182	EKI  Çêri, Şêrite	Accounting Clerk		IVA	iva	Lin monantea - Lin moa	Ψ20,020 Ψ20,122	421,000 P. 420,000
	Senior Accounting Clerk Average	\$25,182			A.E.				\$24,089 \$26,270	\$29,075 \$26,697

	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA				SURVEY DATA		· -				et Salary Data	
. 3	EMINOLE COUNTY DATA		1	1		<u> </u>	Т		(adjusted geoc	raphically to refe	ect the labor mark	et in Sanford, FL
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Average Salary	SURVEY	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	# INCS	SURV	SCOPE	25th	50th %ile	75th <	Avg F
Senior Analyst	Senior Budget Analyst	\$38,813	ECS-Prof	Budget Analyst	188	988	0350	All Orgs - Level 2	\$40,123	\$45,043	\$49,112	\$45,043
Senior Analyst	Senior Budget Analyst	\$38,813	ERI	Budget Analyst	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 6 years	\$42,394	\$46,332	\$52,635	\$47,031
Senior Analyst	Senior Budget Analyst	<b>\$</b> 38,813	SJRWMD	Budget Analyst	18	150	32	All Organizations	\$41,619	<b>\$</b> 46,916	\$51,791	\$47,070
<b>不禁藥等</b>	Senior Budget Analyst Average 🤏			<b>Carlotte</b>	and the second of the	er andarlært		The second line of the	\$41,378	\$46,097	\$51 179	. \$46,381
Senior Buyer	Senior Procurement Analyst	\$44,096	ECS-Prof	Buyer	673	2,376	6040	All Orgs - Level 2	\$36,338	\$40,596	\$45,517	\$41,069
Senior Buyer	Senior Procurement Analyst	\$44,096	Plant City	Purchasing Agent	11	11	42	All Organizations	\$37,746	\$46,312	\$60,023	\$48,694
	Senior Procurement Analyst	\$44,096	· 制度的原则		1.70	<b>此作和</b>			\$37,042	\$48,454	\$52,770	S44.881
Senior Programmer	Senior Programmer	\$57,585	ECS-Prof	General Programmer/Analyst	638	6,855	1950	All Orgs - Level 3	\$50,721	\$56,777	\$63,496	\$57.534
Senior Programmer	Senior Programmer	\$57,585	ERI	Programmer Analyst	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 8 years	\$56,998	\$62,293	\$70,766	\$63,152
Senior Programmer	Senior Programmer	\$57,585	FLOC/FPPA	Sr. Programmer/Analyst	27	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs				\$54,667
Senior Programmer	Senior Programmer	\$57,585	JCA	Analyst/Programmer III	9	178	655	All Companies	\$57,439	\$71,115	\$75,625	\$68,786
	Senior Programmer Average	\$57,585	ng tat saya an Tanaki		. Dec.:	5 N. C.	ara jihari		\$55,052	\$63,395	\$69.962	\$61,035
Senior Technician	Signals	\$34,256	ERI	Street Light Repairer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 2 years	\$31,467	\$33,610	\$37,039	\$34,169
Senior Technician	Signals	\$34,256	FLOC/FPPA	Traffic Signal Technician	21	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		***************************************	A	\$33,345
a giri grandi i gili dina sa sa sa	Signals Average		alang kalabagan	and the control of a second of the	. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	in Archer	rain e ja		\$31,467	\$33,610	\$37,039	<b>\$33,757</b>
Associate Technician	Solid Waste	\$20,757	ERI	Water Maintenance Worker	n/a	r/a	n/a	All Industries - 1 year	\$20,818	\$22,491	\$25,168	\$22,943
	Solid Waste Average	\$20,757	1 21/94						\$20,818	\$22,491	\$25,168	4.5 <b>\$22,943</b> i
Senior Coordinator	Solid Waste Contracts Management	\$42,505	SJRWMD	Senior Contracts Administrator	9	41	55	All Organizations	\$42,609	\$45,466 ·	\$48,435	↑ <b>\$48</b> ,066
性的 The American Company	Solid Waste Contracts	"等"连问意	1. 金融市的	。			数均未	A Participant	76年2月		10 家人會	11.73
SAME AND A SECOND	Management Average	\$42,505	· 《本語·單語》卷	Control of the contro		أحرق فيسوا والإنجاء	1. A	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	\$42,609	\$45,466	\$48,435	\$48,066
Technician	Solid Waste Operator III	\$26,915	ERI	Heavy Equipment Operator	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 2 years	\$28,565	\$31,402	\$35,939 \$	<b>\$</b> 31,952
Technician	Solid Waste Operator III	\$26,915	FLOC/FPPA	Solid Waste Equipment Operator	18	n/a	n/a ⇔inio Gedti.	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	i Alberta n Askiin		B San Barriera	\$30,378
	Solid Waste Operator III Average :	\$26,915	1. 1.		11 M. 14 . 11				\$28,565	\$31,402	- <b>\$</b> 35,939	\$31,165 a
Associate Technician	Support Services	\$25,460	ECS-Office	Office Services Assistant	157	625	2245	All Orgs - Level 1	\$22,467	\$25,228	\$28,084	\$25,418 m
Associate Technician	Support Services	\$25,460	ECS-Tech	Photocopy Machine Operator	124	985	2080	All Orgs - Level 2	\$23,419	\$26,942	\$30,750	\$27,513
Associate Technician	Support Services	\$25,460	ERI	Mailroom Clerk	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$17,846	\$18.954	\$20,726	\$19:362
Associate Technician	Support Services	\$25,460	ERI	Printer Job	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 1 year	\$21,363	\$23,214	\$26,174	\$23,676
						1.70			The second second	La representativa	的特征 分析	
Stratifications	Support Services Average	\$25,460	and ref.	Generic Administrative Services	√4350 E		<u> </u>		\$21,274	\$23,584	\$26,433	\$23,992
Senior Coordinator	Support Services	\$42,828	ECS-Supv	Supervisor	1889	5,892	2715	All Orgs - Level 2	\$37,396	\$43,471	\$51,539	<b>\$</b> 45,179
Senior Coordinator	Support Services	\$42,828	ERI	Graphic Supervisor	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$41,324	\$45,163	\$51,306	\$45,850
Senior Coordinator	Support Services	\$42,828	ERI	Print Shop Foreman	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$39,422	\$42,589	\$47,658	\$43,275
A Charles	Support Services Average	\$42,828	37. 5	in the second second second	1.174				\$39,381	\$43,741	\$50,167	\$44,768
Senior Technician	Support Services	vacant	SJRWMD	Associate Contracts Administrator	11	27	31	All Organizations	\$33,599	\$36,167	\$42,473	\$37,423
	人名英格兰人姓氏克尔特的变体	1.						物质的现在分词 医皮肤	- A 2 2 7 3 -			
· 高於東北東縣所於書戶	Support Services Average	vacant .	Tricker source		ا يالىقىدا ئاللىق	Fred CA.	F-15/25/20		\$33,599	\$36,167	\$42,473	\$37,423
Tactical Radio Operator	Tactical Radio Operator	\$24,760	ERI	Dispatcher Generic  Emergency Communications Operator	n/a	n/a	n/a_	All Industries - 1 year	\$20,556	\$22,081	\$24,521	<b>\$22,526</b>
Tactical Radio Operator	Tactical Radio Operator	\$24,760	FLOC/FPPA	Dispatch	26	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		3.789	• Francos no	\$29,894
	Testical Paris Operator Average	\$24,760	1362 11 4 3					BARTELAN RELEAVE	200 550	Pos nov	1	****
Principal Coordinator	Tactical Radio Operator Average Technical Engineer (No JD)	vaçant	e sergence na struction in the	No Match	a lagra (to the grant)	±36 .	্ন সংখ্যা	- TARKE KURU TUGU YATRISHAN' BUTA' 1987 B. 1997 B. B	\$20,556 n/a	\$22,081	\$24,521	\$26,210
e incapai coolullatoi	Troumou Engineer (140 ab)	VOLGIII NE OUTS	ปี สมาเทาเลือ		ka amara a		i Hang Maka		IVa	. n/a	r√a	j n/a

	SEMINOLE COUNTY DATA	SURVEY DATA						Aged Market Salary Data (adjusted geographically to reflect the labor market in Sanford, FL)				
JOB CLASS	JOB TITLE	Average Salary	SURVEY	SURVEY MATCH	# ORGS	# INCS	SURV	SCOPE	25th %ile	50th	75th %ile	
			Ì						****		#04.040	(최기) 교육부터 등 기술, (대한민국 중
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	ECS-Tech	General Maintenance Repair Worker	572	4,499	5960	All Orgs - Level 2	\$26,561	\$30,559	\$34,843	\$30,940 \$27,589
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	ERI	General Maintenance Worker	<u>n/a</u>	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$25,369	\$27,096	\$29,861	
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	FLOC/FPPA	Carpenter	25	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	<del></del>			\$31,941
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	FLOC/FPPA	Electrician	31	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		with the state of		\$35,952
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	FLOC/FPPA	Painter	<u>-21</u> -7	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs			F20 200	\$29,904
Tradesworker	Tradesworker	\$29,279	Ft Pierce	Electrician	$\frac{1}{x^{r_{0}}}$ $\frac{\mathbf{W}}{x^{r_{0}}}$	93	12	All Organizations	\$31,282	\$33,684	\$39,326	\$36,436
	Tradesworker Average	\$29,279		ang tanàn ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			\$27,737	\$30,446	\$34,677	\$32,127
Lead Technician	Traffic Signals	vacant	ERI	Street Light Repairer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All industries - 5 years	\$36,021	\$38,474	\$42,398	\$39,082
Lead Technician	Traffic Signals	vacant	FLOC/FPPA	Traffic Signal Technician	21	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs		agen right		\$33,345
[18] [14] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [	在自然的重要的 医多种性	a. 桑州 唐云诗》	自然對政策是是	<b>皇帝对征以下,以为李明等的政治</b> 等	فللها أأدار بإلهادا			·송성호인 (Halling Hall) 이 다.				
<u>ากใหม่เหลือนได้ เลียงส์สิติดส์ (ก็</u>	Traffic Signals Average	vacant	er same as a	THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF	asay 🖏 un 🤭	1960	200 62 5 20	ME TONGAROUS	\$36,021	\$38,474	\$42,398	\$36;214
0	Treatment Mant County	\$29,492	ECS-Tech	Wastewater Treatment Technician	111	1.092	4087	Alt Orgs - All Levels	\$26,275	\$32,368	\$38,366	\$32,749
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator	\$29,492 \$29,492	ERI	Water Treatment Operator	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 5 years	\$27,148	\$29,670	\$33,706	\$30,201
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator Treatment Plant Operator	<del></del>	FLOC/FPPA	Treatment Plant Operator - A	16	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	\$27,140	\$29,010	400,100	\$41,132
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator	\$29,492	PLOCIFFFA	Treatment Flant Operator - A		17/4		All Olgs. > 10001 1Ls				_ 441,102
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator	\$29,492	Plant City	Plant Operator II (Water/Wastewater)	16	41	34	Ail Organizations	\$26,207	\$28,822	\$31,920	\$29,067
Senior Operator	Treatment Plant Operator	\$29,492	SJRWMD	Invasive Plant Technician	6	57	22	All Organizations	\$26,431	\$27,609	\$32,835	\$29,469
位于1683年6月27	N. 基础有多位的图象	<ul><li>・・ドルフージデー報酬</li></ul>						ing the contractions.	\$26.515	<b>/\$29.617</b> J	<b>\$34.207</b>	\$32,524
A CALL STATES	Treatment Plant Operator Average	\$29,492		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Magazina September 1971  - 	Nestinge (extri)	enescatorio di video	er findingspring properties (1934) 	1 3/320,31326	********	isaaja;zurs:	***********
Team Leader	Water and Sewer Maintenance	\$38,228	ECS-Tech	Maintenance Mechanic	526	6,123	5940	All Orgs - Level 2	\$29,798	\$33,606	\$37,223	\$33,891
Team Leader	Water and Sewer Maintenance	\$38,228	ERI	Water Maintenance Worker	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - All Incs	\$25,764	\$27,834	\$31,147	\$28,341
Team Leader	Water and Sewer Maintenance	\$38,228	Plant City	Chief Plant Operator	10	16	5	All Organizations	\$34,255	\$89,910	\$43,786	\$39,533
	Water and Sewer Maintenance								***	***	207.000	****
<u> Proposition (Constitution of the Constitution u>	Average	\$38,228	1000	and the state of t	004	4.004	1050	441.0	\$29,939	\$33,783	\$37,385	\$33,922
Principal Analyst	Web Developer	\$45,848	ECS-Prof	Web Developer	321	1,331	1652	All Organizations	\$48,261	\$55,453	\$64,253	\$56,967
Principal Analyst	Web Developer	\$45,848	ERI	Web Developer	n/a	n/a	n/a	All Industries - 7 years	\$57,660	\$61,586	\$67,868	\$62,428
Principal Analyst	Web Developer	\$45,848	FLOC/FPPA	Webmaster	17	n/a	n/a	All Orgs: >1000 FTEs	and the second of the second		Transport of the second of the	\$49,752
	不多的 医乳腺 医乳腺		STATE AND ADDRESS.	(4) 主义·公司——要为6	160人的第	· 总是是					1877	
Market State Control	Web Developer Average	\$45,848	The state of the s		TO COMPANY FOR THE PARTY	<u> </u>	<del>the 21 s to a lin</del> 	記憶機能の表示などので発送され、あっていていた数から。 	\$52,960	\$58,519	\$65,061	\$56,382
		<del> </del>	+	· <del>  </del>				<del> </del>	-			<del> </del>
Property Passages			<del> </del>									
Survey Sources:	t, ECS Industry Report on Middle Man	agamant Com	nagastion						-			<del></del>
				<del>                                     </del>								<del></del>
	ratt, ECS Geographic Report on Office						<del> </del>		-			1
	tt, ECS Geographic Report on Profess					-	<del> </del>	<del> </del>				<del> </del>
	att, ECS Geographic Report on Super-			*		<del></del>	ļ	ļ <del></del>				
	att, ECS Geographic Report on Techni			nel Compensation		<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>			· — — — —	<b></b>
	t, ECS Geographic Report on Top Ma	nagement Con	npensation	ļ		<del> </del>	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ļ
ERI = Economic Research	h Institute	<u></u>	. L			ļ	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	ļ	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	ļ
Ft Pierce = Palmer & Cay	Consulting Group Private Survey - Cit	ty of Ft. Pierce	, FL			<b></b>						<u> </u>
ONA - Palmer & Cay Cor	nsulting Group Private Survey - IONA-	McGregor Fire	District, FL			ļ						
JCA = Jacksonville Comp	ensation Association (Compensation	Survey 2002)					<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Mercer = Benchmark Con	npensation Survey (2002 Metropolitan	Survey)	<u></u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L				<u> </u>
Plant City = Palmer & Cay	y Consulting Group Private Survey - C	ity of Plant City	, FL	<u></u>	ļ							
					1			1				1